PLANNING BOARD of the TOWN of WAPPINGER, DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Special Use Permit and Site Plan Review Application of

VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP d/b/a Verizon Wireless SITE NAME: KENT ROAD MICRO

> Public ROW Adjacent to 2 Baldwin Drive Town of Wappinger, Dutchess County Tax Map No. N/A

> > Submitted by:

Verizon Wireless Kathy Pomponio, Manager – Network Real Estate 1275 John Street, Suite 100 West Henrietta, New York 14586 (585) 321-5435

Tectonic Engineering & Surveying Consultants, P.C. Steven Matthews, P.E. 36 British American Blvd, Suite 101 Latham, New York 12110 (518) 783-1630

Tectonic Engineering & Surveying Consultants, P.C. Nate Keenan, Project Manager 36 British American Blvd, Suite 101 Latham, New York 12110 (518) 783-1630

> Young/Sommer LLC Scott P. Olson, Esq. Executive Woods Five Palisades Drive Albany, New York 12205 (518) 438-9907

Dated: May 10, 2023

TOWN OF WAP	PPINGER PLANNING BOAR	D Ap Dat Fee Esc	plication No e Received: Received: row Received:
	APPLICATION FOR SIT	E PLAN APPR	OVAL
TITLE OF PROJE	CT: Verizon Willers -	Kent Rd	Small Wivilen FACILITY
Location of Proper	ty: Adj. to 2 Bald	win OR.	·
	v		
NAME & ADDRE	SS OF APPLICANT (Corporatio	n or Individual):	
Verizon Wirel	ell of the EAST LP	JIble Venz	ion Wireless
1275 Taka 1	+. Suite 100 N. Henrich	A NY	14586
Street	Town	State	Zip
Contact Person	Phone Number	<u> </u>	youn sommer. (IM
NAME & ADDRES Public RO	SS OF OWNER (Corporation or \mathcal{U}	individual):	
Street	Town	State	Zip
Contact Person	Phone Number	Email	
Grid No. Polla	k RON		
Please specify use o	r uses of building and amount of	floor area devote	d to each:
Existing Use:	ublic RON		
Proposed Use: <u>In</u> <i>Pole uth 1</i> Existing Sq. Footage Proposed Sq. footage	tallston GrJ operation Gotana Grd support :: NIA Use: :: NIA Use:	9 56' (C - and vtili	:/411 2) Wooden whity two.
Location of Property	: Corner of Kont R	d and Bala	lant DR.
Zoning District:	R-20	Acreage:	//m
Anticipated No. of E	mployees: <u>N/A</u>		
Existing No. of Parki	ng Spaces:	Proposed No. of	f Parking Spaces: MA

VERION Wirebil of the EAST Le State Veriter Wirebij Type Name (Corporation, LLC, Individual, etc.) 5/3/23 Date 5/8.449.9907 Ext. 258 Owner's Telephone No. _Sott Ols Owner or representative's signature <u>Scoπ</u> Olson, Attorney Type Name and Title *** <u>1275 John St., Suite 100</u>, W. Hanzith, Ny 14586 Owner's Address Applicants

*******If this is a Corporation or LLC please provide documentation of authority to sign.

- Note: *The applicant is responsible for the cost involved in publishing the required legal notice in the local newspaper;
 - * If Special Use Permit for the above use has been applied for, please check \checkmark .
 - Application Fees are non-refundable.

TOWN OF WAPPINGER PLANNING BOARD **SPECIAL USE PERMIT**

Application No.	
Date Received:	
Fee Received:	
Escrow Received:	

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 240-53 OF THE TOWN OF WAPPINGER ZONING LAW, I HEREBY MAKE APPLICATION TO THE PLANNING BOARD OR TOWN BOARD FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A SPECIAL PERMIT FOR THE USE OF;

PROJECT NAME	Verizon	Wivelell -	Kent Rd	Small Wivel	11 FAcilty
GRID NO.	Public F	ZON	Ź	ONING DISTRICT	R-20
PROPERTY LOCATION	Corner 6	F Kent RJ	. and BA	Idmin Dr.	
NAME & ADDRESS OF Verize Wirgen of	APPLICANT (C - tl, ëaji	Corporation or In	dividual): <u>Vricen Ni</u>	٧ - ()	
1275 John St., Ju	rate 100, W.	Henrictia, Ny	1455	76	
Street Cla Scatt OLSE-	Town	State	107 Fut 258	Zip Colsme	www.samma.co
Contact Person		Phone N	umber	Email	000000
Street	Town		State	Zip	
Contact Person	Stad Bill and the same payments	Phone Nu	ımber	Email	nga antar pangianan sakan kecan ke
Pursuant to section(s	: 3240	1-810)(3))		
II. CONCURRENTLY W PROVISIONS OF SEC PLAN APPROVAL O PARCEL. III. MAP TITLED: PREPARED BY: DATED:	ITH THE ABOV CTION 450 OF S F THE FOLLOW	/E APPLICATIO AID ORDINAN /ING PLANS TO	DN, AND IN AC CE, I HEREBY D CONDUCT SU	CORDANCE WITH MAKE APPLICATI JCH USE ON THE J	I THE ON FOR SITE AFORESAID
III. I HAVE, AS PART OF USE" WHICH FULLY IN THE APPLICATIO	THESE CONCU DESCRIBES TI N: (Use EXTRA	URRENT APPL HE OPERATION SHEET IF NEC	ICATIONS, SUE N AND MAINTE CESSARY)	BMITTED A "STAT ENANCE OF SAID	EMENT OF USE LISTED

Continued page 2 for Special Use Permit

erizon Wivile 11 of the East LP d/bla Verizon Wivile 11 Type Name (Corporation, LLC, Individual, etc.) rats Ollo 5/3/23 Owner or representative's signature Date 518.449.9907 Ext. 258 Scott Oldon, Attorny Type Name and Tit Owner's Telephone No. 1275 John St., Just 100, W. Henrictin, Ny 1-3 (4586 Applicant! Owner's Address Applicanty

*******If this is a Corporation or LLC please provide documentation of authority to sign.

- •
- **THE REQUIRED FEES (NON-REFUNDABLE)** AND PLANS MUST ACCOMPANY THE APPLICATION.
- APPLICANT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COSTS INVOLVED IN PUBLISHING THE REQUIRED LEGAL NOTICE IN THE LOCAL NEWSPAPER.

Town of Wappinger SITE PLAN & (REGULAR) SPECIAL PERMIT CHECKLIST

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE PRESENTED TO THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR ON THE SUBMISSION DATE:

Applications:	Site Plan Application & Special Use Permit Application (If applicable) Must state if the applicant is the owner or contract vendee. Applications must be typed. Name of Owner and Applicant must be accurate.
Signatures:	Must be original signatures. Name of Corporation or LLC, etc must be typed above signature and name and authorization must be typed below signature.
Phone Numbers:	Include contact phone number and fax number.
Letter of Consent:	If contract vendee, a letter of consent from the owner is required
E.A.F:	Short Form Long Form
Application Fee:	Application fee may be paid in cash, or if paying by check, it must be a certified check, bank check, or money order written out to the 'Town of Wappinger' (Separate checks are required for application fees and escrow)
Escrow:	Escrow may be paid in cash, or if paying by check, it must be a certified check, bank check, or money order written out to the 'Town of Wappinger' (Separate checks are required for application fees and escrow)
<u>18</u> Pians:	Plans to be submitted with application to the Planning Board
	Secretary -Add 19 th plan set if on a County or State Road.

If any item on this list is not applicable to the site plan, please make a written notification on the site plan to that effect:

_____ The name and address of the owner of record of the property.

_____ The name, address and professional seal of the individual preparing the site plan.

_____ The names of all owners of record of adjacent properties.

_____ The accurate location of the boundaries of the applicant's property, any existing lot lines, streets, and easements or other reservations located within it.

Town of Wappinger Site Plan & Regular Special Permit Checklist

- The location of all existing buildings, structures, and other man-made features of the site, as well as those on adjacent properties within one hundred (100) feet of the property boundary including existing utility lines.
- _____ The proposed location, use, design of all buildings and structures.
- A tabular analysis of the proposed use of all floor space clearly indicating the proposed type of use by building, floor level, and the proposed division of buildings into units of separate occupancy.
- _____ The location and design of all driveways, parking, and loading areas including improvements to adjoining streets designed to facilitate the face and convenient flow of traffic to and from the site.
- The location and design of the proposed water supply, sewage disposal, stormwater drainage systems, including the relationship of these t related off site facilities, services, and systems along with an analysis of the impact of the proposed site's development upon them.
- The location and design of all other proposed improvements including signs, exterior lighting, recreational facilities, fences, walls, refuse enclosures, buffer screening, and landscaping.
- _____ The proposed nature and location of any uses which will not be located within buildings or structures including outdoor storage and display areas, if any.
- Existing and proposed contours with vertical intervals of not more than two (2) feet unless waived by the Planning Board, extending at least fifty (50) feet beyond the site boundaries and referenced to USGS or other proved bench mark.
- The nature and location of all other existing site features, including water bodies, water courses, wooded areas, rock outcrops, and single trees with a diameter at breast height (dbh) of twelve (12) or more inches. The plan shall clearly indicate which site features are to be retained and which will be removed.
- Appropriate plans for the protection of the site's environment during the course of construction, including erosion control, protection of existing vegetation, noise control, limits of hours of operation, access route for construction vehicles and other similar measures as may be appropriate in each individual case.
- Any other pertinent information as may be determined necessary or appropriate by the Planning Board or the Zoning Administrator to provide for the proper enforcement of this Ordinance.

-]-

Town of Wappinger Site Plan & Regular Special Permit Checklist

In addition, the following items of information shall also accompany any site development plan application:

- The proposed wording of any covenants, deed restriction or association agreement which are intended to apply to all or any part of the subject property.
- Plans and elevations of all proposed buildings, structures, and accessory structures, including proposed signs.
- Where the applicant proposed to develop the project in stages, a staging plan shall be submitted for approval along with the ultimate development plan for the entire parcel.
- 18 Application shall consist of (number of plans to be determined by the Zoning Administrator) copies of the applicant's proposed site development plan, drawn at as large a scale as is convenient practical and reasonably possible showing the proceeding items of information.

Also including on the plan (or a separate sheet) an area map, at a scale convenient for Planning Board use, showing the applicant's entire property as well as all adjacent properties, existing and proposed roads, railroads, streams, right-of-way, and easements in all directions from the subject parcel, all community facility and utility trunk lines in the neighboring area, and all existing school, zoning and special district boundaries within fivehundred (500) feet of the applicants property.

____ Application fees: Please refer to current fee schedule.

EAF: Long or Short must be signed.

_____ Maps: MUST BE FOLDED or will be rejected.

-2-

PLANNING BOARD of the TOWN of WAPPINGER, DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Special Use Permit and Site Plan Review Application of

VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP d/b/a Verizon Wireless

Premises: Public ROW Adjacent to 2 Baldwin Drive Town of Wappinger, Dutchess County Tax Map No. N/A

Site Name: KENT ROAD MICRO

STATEMENT OF INTENT and APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL USE PERMIT and SITE PLAN REVIEW

I. <u>Introduction</u>

VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless" or the "Applicant") proposes to install a new forty-three-foot (43') utility pole and antenna at the top thereof, with related cables and related equipment in the Town of Wappinger (collectively, the "Small Wireless Facility"). The Small Wireless Facility, including the components thereof, is described on the site plans prepared by Tectonic Engineering in Exhibit 1.

Verizon Wireless is considered a public utility under New York decisional law (*Cellular Telephone Company v. Rosenberg*, 82 N.Y.2d 364 (1993)) [Exhibit 2], and a provider of "personal wireless services" under the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "TCA") [Exhibit 3]. Verizon Wireless' equipment will be in operation twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven (7) days a week, three hundred sixty-five (365) days a year. A copy of the applicable Verizon Wireless FCC licenses is included herewith Exhibit 4.

II. Purpose of Kent Road Micro Communications Facility

Enclosed in <u>Exhibit 5</u> is an RF Analysis prepared by a qualified Radio Frequency ("RF") Design Engineer which analysis describes in detail the need for this new site at this location.¹ As detailed in the RF justification, the Facility is needed to resolve significant coverage gaps and capacity issues experienced in the surrounding area, which

¹ The RF Analysis in Exhibit 5 also covers a second site proposed by Verizon Wireless referred to internally as the "Spook Hill Park" site. Both sites are intended to provide infill service between two existing Verizon Wireless sites (known internally by Verizon Wireless as Swartoutville and Wappinger Falls.

are not able to be addressed by modifications to the two adjoining Verizon Wireless sites referred to as the "Swartoutville" and the "Wappinger Falls" sites. <u>Exhibit 6</u> includes a Site Selection Analysis that describes the methodology of identifying the proposed location for the new Small Wireless Facility.

The purpose of this site and the similar Spook Hill Park site (an application for which is being submitted simultaneously with this current application) is to provide infill wireless service to small areas within the Town that cannot be served by the two nearest Verizon Wireless sites in a manner that will minimize potential visual impacts to the greatest extent possible (i.e., avoiding a new full-sized macro facility in this part of the Town).

III. Additional Supporting Materials

1. **Public Necessity of Facility.** The Applicant has provided expert proof in the form of a report from its RF Design Engineer depicting the area within which Verizon Wireless' communications facility needs to be located (the "search area") in order to provide adequate and safe service to the Town of Wappinger. This report clearly demonstrates that (i) there is an inadequate and unsafe level of service in the targeted area of the Town of Wappinger resulting from a lack of capacity, and (ii) a new communications facility is necessary to provide an adequate and safe level of hand-held wireless service to this area. *See,* Exhibit 5.

As noted above and in <u>Exhibits 2 and 3</u>, Verizon Wireless is recognized as a public utility under New York law and a provider of personal wireless services under the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. This project is a public necessity in that it is required to render adequate and safe coverage (mobile and in-building) to a significant portion of the Town of Wappinger. This, combined with the federal mandate to expeditiously deploy advanced wireless services across the nation and Verizon Wireless' FCC licenses to provide such services in the Town of Wappinger, demonstrates that Verizon Wireless' facility is a public necessity. Without the construction of the Small Wireless Facility proposed, the public will be deprived of an essential means of communication, which, in turn, would jeopardize the safety and welfare of the community and traveling public.

2. The Facility has been designed and will be installed and operated to conform to all applicable regulations promulgated by the Federal

Communications Commission, the Federal Aviation Administration and other federal agencies.

- **3.** As set forth above, Verizon Wireless and the proposed facility are considered public utilities for purposes of zoning under existing New York decisional law.
- **4.** Operation of the facility will not involve any objectionable noise, fumes, vibration or other characteristics.
- 5. The facility will be operated on a 24/7 basis, 365 days a year with minimal maintenance required. Adequate access and parking have been incorporated into the facility design.
- 6. The facility will not increase or otherwise impact any existing traffic patterns, nor will it impair pedestrian or vehicular safety or overload existing roads. Additionally, the facility will be fully accessible to fire, police and other emergency vehicles.
- 7. Because the facility will be unmanned, it will not involve the use of any public water, drainage or sewer system, or any other municipal facility, or degrade any act or for, natural resource or ecosystem.
- 8. <u>Exhibit 7</u> includes a visual analysis of the proposed Small Wireless Facility, including photographs and simulations of the proposed facility. By designing the facility to appear like a typical utility pole, the Applicant was able to minimize potential visual impacts to the greatest extent practicable while still providing much needed service to this area of the Town.
- 9. To assist the Town in fulfilling its obligations under the NYS Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), a Full Environmental Assessment Form and Visual Addendum ("EAF") has been prepared by Tectonic Engineering and is provided in Exhibit 8.
- **10.** Attached in Exhibit 9 is a Site Compliance Report prepared by SiteSafe, an independent third-party engineering firm that specializes in FCC compliance issues. The Site Compliance Report confirms that the proposed Facility will be fully complaint with all applicable FCC RF emission requirements.
- 11. Small Wireless Facilities such as those proposed are generally not

intended to support collocation of other carriers.

IV. Conclusion

Approval of the Kent Road Small Wireless Facility will enable Verizon Wireless to provide an adequate and safe level of wireless telephone service to the area of the Town of Wappinger and surrounding environs, within the confines of applicable technological and land use limitations. Specifically, this site will provide infill coverage for areas within the Town not adequately served. Such approval will also be in the public interest, in that it will allow Verizon Wireless to comply with its statutory mandate to build out its network and provide local businesses, residents and public service entities with safe and reliable wireless communications services, while doing so in a manner that mitigates potential visual impacts to the greatest extent feasible. Based upon the foregoing, Verizon Wireless respectfully submits that this project complies in all material respects with the Special Use Permit and Site Plan Review requirements of the Town of Wappinger's Zoning Code, and any potential impact on the community created by this approval may properly be considered minimal and of no significant adverse effect.

If you should have any questions or require any additional information, I can be reached at (518) 438-9907, Ext. 258.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted, VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS

Scott P. Olson, Esq. Regional Local Counsel

Dated: May 3, 2023

VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP, d/b/a

verizon

KENT ROAD MICRO

REAL ESTATE P/N: 20222384943 LOCATION CODE: 729796 UTILITY POLE #: TBD



DIRECTIONS

DIRECTIONS TO SITE FROM NORTH GREENBUSH SWITCH FACILITY, GET ON I-90 W FROM US-4 S AND NY-43 W (72.6± MI). TAKE EXIT 18 FOR NY-299 E (0.0 ± MI). TURN RIGHT ONTO RTE 9W (2.3 ± MI). TAKE RAMP TO MID HUDSON BRIDGE/POUGHKEEPSIE (0.4 ± MI). CONTINUE ONTO US-44 E (3.7 ± MI). TURN RIGHT ONTO RAYMOND AVE (0.9 ± MI). TURN LEFT ONTO NY-376 S (4.7± MI). CONTINUE ONTO ALL ANGELS HILL RD 2.2± MI). TURN RIGHT ONTO KENT RD (0.3± MI). SITE WILL BE ON HE LEFT

SITE ADDRESS:	ADJ TO 2 BALDWIN DR WAPPINGERS FALLS, NY 12590
MUNICIPALITY:	TOWN OF WAPPINGER
COUNTY:	DUTCHESS
TAX MAP NUMBER:	PUBLIC R.O.W.
ZONING DISTRICT:	ONE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-20)
STRUCTURE COORDINATES:	N 41° 35' 35.32" (41.593144°) W 73° 52' 23.64" (-73.873233°)
GROUND ELEVATION:	247'± AMSL
POLE OWNER:	VERIZON WIRELESS
POLE #:	TBD
APPLICANT:	VERIZON WIRELESS 1275 JOHN STREET, SUITE 100 WEST HENRIETTA, NY 14586
CONTACT PERSON:	KATHY POMPONIO
CONTACT PHONE:	(585) 321-5435

PROJECT SUMMARY

SITE MUNI

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

THE PROPOSED WORK CONSISTS OF:

- PROPOSED 50' (CLASS 2) WOODEN UTILITY POLE.
- INSTALL (1) CELLULAR ANTENNA & ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT ON PROPOSED POLE.
- INSTALL ELECTRICAL, TELCO AND CABLE ROUTING AS NECESSARY.

SHT. NO.	DESCRIPTION	REV NO	REVISION DATE
T-1	TITLE SHEET	0	4/14/23
GN-1	GENERAL NOTES	0	4/14/23
AD-1	ADJOINERS PLAN	0	4/14/23
C-1	SITE PLAN & EQUIPMENT PLAN	0	4/14/23
C-2	POLE ELEVATION & DETAIL	0	4/14/23
C-3	POLE MOUNT DETAILS	0	4/14/23
SH	EET INDEX		

THIS SET OF PLANS SHALL NOT BE UTILIZED AS CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS UNTIL ALL ITEMS OF CONCERN HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED AND EACH OF THE DRAWINGS HAS BEEN REVISED AND ISSUED "FOR CONSTRUCTION"

UTILITY PROVIDER
ELECTRIC PROVIDER: ESR #: PLANNER: PHONE:
FIBER:

	Before Y
	D
	N
	UND
	CALL US
	NY industrial co working days n
DIC S	SAFEL

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS

CHG&E TBD TBD (XXX) XXX-XXX VERIZON

You Dig, Drill Or Blast!)ig 🖇 Safely. ew York ERGROUND FACILITIES

TOLL FREE 1-800-962-7962 de rule 753 requires no less than two blice, but not more than ten days notice

NEW YORK

THESE DRAWINGS ARE FORMATTED FOR 22"x34" FULL SIZE AND 11"x17" HALF SIZE. OTHER SIZED VERSIONS ARE NOT PRINTED TO THE SCALE SHOWN. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS, EXISTING DIMENSIONS & CONDITIONS ON THE JOB SITE & SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IN WRITING OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.

verizon
1275 JOHN STREET, SUITE 100 WEST HENRIETTA, NY 14586
Model Descention Proceeding Model Constraints Constraints Constraints Model Constraints Constraints Constraints Constraints Model Constraints Constraints
RELEASED BY DATE
UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATION OR ADDITIONS TO A PLAN BEARING THE SEAL OF A LUCKINED ENGINEER OR LAND SURPAYOR IS A VIOLATION OF SECTION 7209 SUBDIVISION 2 OF THE NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION LAW. COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WITHOUT A FACSIMILE OF THE SIGNALUKE, AND AN UNIGNAL EMBOSSEU OF THE PROFESSIONAL EXAMPLER OR LAND SURVEYOR SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED VALID COPIES.
01 ORIGINAL SIZE IN INCHES (22x34 FORMAT) 01 ORIGINAL SIZE IN INCHES (11x17 FORMAT)
KENT ROAD RE PN: 20222384943 LC: 729796
UTILITY POLE# TBD ADJ TO 2 BALDWIN DR TOWN OF WAPPINGER DUTCHESS COUNTY NY 12590 SHEET TITLE
TITLE SHEET
T–1

ELECTRICAL NOTES	ANTENNA MOUNTING NOTES	GENERAL NOTES
 ALL ELECTRICAL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS, NEC AND ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL CODES. ALL ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES SHALL BE U.L. APPROVED OR LISTED. 	1. DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF ANTENNA SUPPORTS SHALL CONFORM TO ANSI/TIA-222-H-2017 "STRUCTURAL STANDARD FOR ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES, ANTENNAS AND SMALL WIND TURBINE SUPPORT STRUCTURES" THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW YORK STATE AND	1. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO STATE BUILDING CODE, AND ALL ORDINANCES.
 CONDUIT ROUTINGS ARE SCHEMATIC. CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL CONDUITS SO THAT ACCESS TO EQUIPMENT IS NOT BLOCKED. WRING, RACEWAY AND SUPPORT METHODS AND MATERIALS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE 	ALL OTHER APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL CODES. 2. ALL STEEL MATERIALS SHALL BE GALVANIZED AFTER FABRICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A123 "ZINC (HOT-DIP GALVANIZED) COATINGS	 CONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE CONDITIONS AFFECTING THE PRI THE COST THEREOF. CONTRACT FAMILIARIZING HIMSELF WITH AL AND DIVERSING MIMSELF WITH AL AND DIVERSING MIMSELF ON FERMI
REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEC. 5. ALL CIRCUITS SHALL BE SEGREGATED AND MAINTAIN MINIMUM CABLE SEPARATION AS REQUIRED BY THE NEC.	ON IRON AND STEEL PRODUCTS", UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 3. ALL BOLTS, ANCHORS AND MISCELLANEOUS HARDWARE SHALL BE GALVANIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A153 "ZINC-COATING (HOT-DIP)	AND DIMENSIONS AND CONFIRM AS SHOWN PRIOR TO PROCEEDI SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE AT COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
6. EACH END OF EVERY POWER, POWER PHASE CONDUCTOR (I.E., HOTS), GROUNDING, AND TI CONDUCTOR AND CABLE SHALL BE LABELED WITH COLOR-CODED INSULATION OR ELECTRICAL TAPE (3M BRAND, 1/2 INCH PLASTIC ELECTRICAL TAPE WITH UV PROTECTION, OR EQUAL). THE IDENTIFICATION METHOD SHALL CONFORM WITH NEC & OSHA.	 ON IRON AND STEEL HARDWARE", UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 4. DAMAGED GALVANIZED SURFACES SHALL BE REPAIRED BY COLD GALVANIZING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A780 "REPAIR OF DAMAGED AND UNCOATED AREAS OF HOT—DIP GALVANIZED COATINGS", USING COLD AND UNCOATED AREAS OF HOT—DIP GALVANIZED COATINGS", USING COATING AND UNCOATED AREAS OF HOT—DIP GALVANIZED COATINGS", USING COATING AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	3. PLANS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED DIAGRAMMATIC OUTLINE ONLY, I INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS NECESSARY TO EFFECT ALL INS
 ALL ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS SHALL BE CLEARLY LABELED. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE LABELED WITH THEIR VOLTAGE RATING, POWER OR AMPACITY RATING, AND BRANCH CIRCUIT ID NUMBERS (I.E., PANELBOARD AND CIRCUIT ID'S). 	KOTE, OR ENGINEER APPROVED EQUAL WITH A MINIMUM METALLIC ZINC CONTENT OF 95% BY WEIGHT IN DRY FILM. DRY FINISHED COATING THICKNESS SHALL BE 3 MILS MINIMUM.	SPACING BETWEEN EQUIPMENT I CRITICAL TO FIELD VERIFY DIME REGARDING THE CONTRACT DOU DESIGN INTENT THE CONTRACT
8. PANELBOARDS (ID NUMBERS) AND INTERNAL CIRCUIT BREAKERS (CIRCUIT ID NUMBERS) SHALL BE CLEARLY LABELED.	 ALL ANTENNA MOUNTS SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH DOUBLE NUTS AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS. 	CLARIFICATION FROM THE CARR ENGINEER PRIOR TO PROCEEDIN
SINGLE CONDUCTOR (#14 AWG OR LARGER), 600 V, OIL RESISTANT THHN OR THWN-2, STRANDED COPPER CABLE RATED FOR 90°C (WET AND DRY) OPERATION; LISTED OR LABELED FOR THE LOCATION AND RACEWAY SYSTEM USED, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.	6. DESIGN OF THE ANTENNA MOUNTING BRACKETS, SUPPORTS, AND ALL COMPONENTS THEREOF AND ATTACHMENT THERETO SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE MANUFACTURER. MANUFACTURER SHALL PROVIDE THE OWNER DRAWINGS DETAILING ALL COMPONENTS OF THE ASSEMBLY	5. DETAILS ARE INTENDED TO SHO MODIFICATIONS MAY BE REQUIR AND SUCH MODIFICATIONS SHAI 6. CONTRACTOR SHALL RECEIVE C
10. SUPPLEMENTAL EQUIPMENT GROUND WIRING LOCATED INDOORS SHALL BE SINGLE CONDUCTOR (#6 AWG OR LARGER), 600 V, CIL RESISTANT THHN OR THWN-2 GREEN INSULATION, STRANDED COPPER CABLE RATED FOR 90°C (WET AND DRY) OPERATION; LISTED OR LABELED FOR THE LOCATION AND RACEWAY SYSTEM USED, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.	INCLUDING CONNECTIONS, DESIGN LOADS, AND ALL OTHER PERTINENT DATA. MANUFACTURER SHALL ALSO PROVDE THE OWNER WITH A STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE, INDICATING THAT THE ANTENNA SUPPORTS HAVE BEEN DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSJ/TIA-222-H STANDARDS ALL SIGNED ALL BEAR THE SIGNATURE AND SEAL	IN WRITING AUTHORIZATION TO ITEMS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED O 7. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY TH PRODUCTS OR ITEMS NOTED AS
11. POWER AND CONTROL WRING, NOT IN TUBING OR CONDUIT, SHALL BE MULTI-CONDUCTOR, TYPE USE-2 CABLE (#14 AWG OR LARGER), 600 V, OIL RESISTANT RHW-2 OR XHHW-2, STRANDED COPPER CABLE RATED FOR 90°C (WET AND DRY) OPERATION; WITH OUTER JACKET; LISTED OR LABELED FOR THE LOCATION USED, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.	OF A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSED IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK.	THE FIELD. 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPERVISE CONSTRUCTION SKILLS AND AT BESPONSIBLE FOR CONSTRUCTION
 ALL POWER AND GROUNDING CONNECTIONS SHALL BE CRIMP-STYLE, COMPRESSION WIRE LUGS AND WIRE NUTS BY THOMAS AND BETTS (OR EQUAL). LUGS AND WIRE NUTS SHALL BE RATED FOR OPERATION AT NO LESS THAN 90°C. 	STRUCTURAL NOTE	SEQUENCES, PROCEDURES, AND WORK UNDER CONTRACT, UNLES
 ELECTRICAL METALLIC TUBING (EMT) OR RIGID METALLIC CONDUIT (RMC) SHALL BE USED FOR EXPOSED OR CONCEALED LOCATIONS. 	OF CONSTRUCTION, BY A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSED IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK.	9. ERECTION SHALL BE DONE IN A EXPERIENCED WORKMEN IN ACC BEST ACCEPTED PRACTICE. ALL AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWING
14. ALL OUTDOOR EXPOSED CONDUIT SHALL BE PVC SCHEDULE 80 AND SHALL BE SUPPORTED ADEQUATELY.	2. REFER TO STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS REPORT PREPARED BY LECTUNIC ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, GEOLOGISTS & LAND SURVEYORS, D.P.C DATED 04/14/23.	10. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPO AND ADJACENT AREAS, THAT A UNDER THIS CONTRACT. WORK
 LIQUID-TIGHT FLEXIBLE METALLIC CONDUTT (LIQUID-TITE FLEX) STALL BE USED OUTDOORS, WHERE VIBRATION OCCURS OR FLEXIBILITY IS NEEDED. LFMC SHALL CONFORM TO NEC ARTICLE 350. DUIDUIT AND FUEND FLEXIBLE STALL DE TUESADED OD OOTDOORS, AND ARTICLE 350. 	GROUNDING NOTES	REQUIREMENTS. 11. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINA AND WORKING HOURS IN ACCOI
APPROVED FOR THE LOCATION USED. SET SCREW FITTINGS ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE.	GROUND TESTING AFTER CONSTRUCTION:	OWNER.
 CABINETS, BOXES, AND WIREWAYS SHALL BE LISTED OR LABELED FOR ELECTRICAL USE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NEMA, UL, ANSI/IEEE, AND NEC. EQUIPMENT CABINETS, TERMINAL BOXES, JUNCTION BOXES, AND PULL BOXES SHALL BE 	 AFTER COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION OF THE CELL SITE GROUND SYSTEM, A POST INSTALLATION CLAMP-ON RESISTANCE TEST WILL BE PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR AS REQUIRED BY NEC AND PROVIDED TO VERIZON WRELESS A SINCLE POD PIPE OR PLATE FLOTZONE SHALL BE 	THE WORK OF OTHERS AS IT M AND ANY OTHER PORTIONS OF
GALVANIZED OR EPOXY-COATED SHEET STEEL, SHALL MEET OR EXCEED UL 50, AND RATED NEMA 3R (OR BETTER) OUTDOORS. 19. JF REQUIRED, CONTRACTOR SHALL APPLY FOR ELECTRICAL SERVICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE	SUPPLEMENTED BY AN ADDITIONAL ELECTRODE OF A TYPE SPECIFIED IN 250.53(A)(2) THROUGH (A)(8). THE SUPPLEMENTAL ELECTRODE SHALL BE PERMITTED TO BE BONDED TO ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: A ROD PIPE OR PLATE FLECTRODE	AND CARRIER. 14. INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND M MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDA
AND COORDINATE REQUIREMENTS, SERVICE ROUTING, AND METER SOCKET TYPE WITH LOCAL POWER COMPANY.	B. GROUNDING ELECTRODE CONDUCTOR IF A SINGLE ROD, PIPE, OR PLATE GROUNDING ELECTRODE HAS A RESISTANCE TO EARTH OF 25 OHMS OR LESS, THE SUPPLEMENTAL ELECTRODE CHALL NOT BE BEQUIED. CROINING TEST SHALL BE TAKEN	WHERE LOCAL CODES OR REGUL
21. CONTRACTOR SHALL LABEL ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH NEC 110.16 AND 110.24.	BEFORE A/C POWER, NEUTRAL/GROUND BOND IS CONNECTED.	DAMAGE THAT OCCURS AUTOMIC 16. REPAIR ALL EXISTING SURFACES
22. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THAT THE MAIN BONDING JUMPER AND GROUNDING ELECTRODE CONDUCTOR IS INSTALLED PROPERLY AT SERVICE ENTRANCE.	BE AVOIDED WHEN 45 BENDS CAN BE ADEQUATELT SOFFORTED. ALL BENDS SHALL BE MADE WITH 12" RADIUS OR LARGER. 3. APPROVED ANTIOXIDANT COATINGS (I.E. CONDUCTIVE GEL OR PASTE)	17. KEEP CONTRACT AREA CLEAN, AND RUBBISH. EQUIPMENT NOT
 WHERE ELECTRICAL POWER IS TO BE SUB-FED FROM AN EXISTING DISTRIBUTION STSTEM, THE FOLLOWING SHALL PERFORM LOAD TESTING TO DETERMINE MAXIMUM FEEDER DEMAND PER N.E.C. ARTICLE 220. B. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY WHETHER EXISTING FEEDER CAPACITY EXCEEDS VALUE 	SHALL BE USED ON ALL COMPRESSION AND BOLTED GROUND CONNECTIONS. ANTI-OXIDATION CONDUCTIVE COMPOUNDS ARE REQUIRED ON ALL GROUND CONNECTIONS. NO OX-ID (COSMOLINE GREASE BASED COMPOUND) SHALL BE USED FOR COPPER TO COPPER CONNECTIONS. ZINC	OF THE OWNER SHALL BE REM AND FREE FROM PAINT SPOTS, CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPO COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION
CALCULATED PER N.E.C. ARTICLE 220. C. EACH BRANCH CIRCUIT PROTECTIVE DEVICE SHALL HAVE SAME INTERRUPTING RATING AS EQUIPMENT SUPPLYING IT. D. PREFERRED MEANS OF SUPPLY SHALL BE A BRANCH CIRCUIT PROTECTIVE DEVICE	BASED COMPOUND (GREY COLORED) OR APPROVED EQUAL SHALL BE USED FOR COPPER TO STEEL CONNECTIONS. 4. ALL LUG CONNECTIONS & THEIR MATING SURFACES SHALL BE CLEANED DO CONTENT WITH THE APPROPRIATE ANT OVERTHE CONDUCTIVE	18. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PRIOR TO FABRICATION AND ER CONDITIONS SHALL BE REPORTE
LUCATED IN EXISTING PANEL.	COMPOUND. IF A LUG CONNECTION IS TO BE SECURED DIRECTLY TO A PAINTED SURFACE, THE PAINT SHALL BE REMOVED TO REVEAL BARE METAL AROUND THE AREA OF THE CONNECTION AND COATED WITH AN ADDROBRING ANTI ON DATION CONNECTION AND COATED WITH AN	19. CONTRACTOR SHALL SECURE AI INSPECTIONS AND PAY ALL REC 20. PROVIDE A PORTABLE FIRE EXT
	 THE POST INSTALLATION GROUND RESISTANCE TEST REPORT AND THE THIRD PARTY ELECTRICAL INSPECTION CERTIFICATION SHALL BE PROVIDED TO THE CITY OF SCHENECTADY ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR. 	THAN 2-A OR 2-A/10-BC WIT PORTIONS OF THE BUILDOUT AF 21. ALL BROCHURES, OPERATING A DRAWINGS AND OTHER DOCUME
	6. SURGE PROTECTORS ARE NOT APPLICABLE TO THIS DESIGN.	AT COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCT 22. COMPLETE JOB SHALL BE GUAF
		AFTER THE DATE OF ACCEPTAN EQUIPMENT FOUND TO BE DEFE CORRECTED IMMEDIATELY UPON COST TO CARRIER.
		23. RIGGING OPERATIONS SHALL BE FEDERAL SAFETY REGULATIONS SHALL BE HELD HARMLESS IN FOLLOW SUCH SAFETY REGULA

THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK verizon OTHER APPLICABLE CODES AND JOB SITE AND FAMILIARIZE HIMSELF WITH ALL ROPOSED WORK AND MAKE PROVISIONS AS TO IT CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, FIELD CONDITIONS ING THAT THE WORK MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED 1275 JOHN STREET, SUITE 100 WEST HENRIETTA, NY 14586 ING WITH CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES TTENTION OF THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO THE 2D. THESE PLANS ARE INTENDED TO BE A UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THE WORK SHALL LS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES, AND LABOR ISTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS. Tectonic Tectonic Engineering Consultants, Geologists & Land Surveyors, D.P.C. Project Contact Info NISH SURFACES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. IS REQUIRED CLEARANCE. THEREFORE, IT IS ENSIONS. SHOULD THERE BE ANY QUESTIONS Project Contact Info 36 British American Blvd. Phone: (518) 783–1630 Suite 101 (800) 829–6531 Latham, NY 12110 www.tectonicengineering.com CUMENTS, EXISTING CONDITIONS AND/OR TOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING WO NUMBER DRAWN BY REVIEWED BY 11861.001 TRR LP RIER'S AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR THE IG WITH THE WORK. ISSUE NO. DATE OW END RESULT OF DESIGN. MINOR 4/14/23 FOR COMMENT RED TO SUIT JOB DIMENSIONS OR CONDITIONS, ALL BE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE WORK. LARIFICATION IN WRITING, AND SHALL RECEIVE PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY IN IDENTIFIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. HE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER OF ALL 'EXISTING" WHICH ARE NOT FOUND TO BE I RELEASED BY DATE E AND DIRECT THE WORK USING THE BEST TTENTION. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY TON MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, ID FOR COORDINATING ALL PORTIONS OF THE ESS OTHERWISE NOTED. WORKMANI IKE MANNER BY COMPETENT CORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CODES AND THE MEMBERS SHALL BE LAID PLUMB AND TRUE ONSIBLE FOR THE SAFETY OF THE WORK AREA ARE LIKELY TO BE AFFECTED BY THE WORK SHALL CONFORM TO ALL OSHA TE HIS WORK AND SCHEDULE HIS ACTIVITIES DRDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NSIBLE FOR COORDINATING HIS WORK WITH UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATION OR ADDITIONS TO A PLAN BEARING THE SEAL OF A LICENSED ENGINEER OR LAND SURVEYOR IS A VIOLATION OF SECTION 7209 SUBDIVISION 2 OF THE NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION MAY RELATE TO RADIO EQUIPMENT, ANTENNAS THE WORK. LAW. COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WITHOUT A FACSIMILE OF THE SIGNATURE AND AN ORIGINAL EMBOSSED SEAL OR ORIGINAL STAMP IN BLUE OR RED INK OF THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OR LAND SURVEYOR SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED VALID COPIES. LIABILITY INSURANCE TO PROTECT THE OWNER MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OR JLATIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE. TO PROTECT EXISTING SURFACES, EQUIPMENT, ORIGINAL SIZE IN INCHES A AND ANTENNA CABLES. REPAIR ANY CONSTRUCTION. (22x34 FORMAT) ES DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION SUCH , HAZARD FREE, AND DISPOSE OF ALL DEBRIS T SPECIFIED AS REMAINING ON THE PROPERTY MOVED. LEAVE PREMISES IN CLEAN CONDITION 5, DUST, OR SMUDGES OF ANY NATURE. ONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING ALL ITEMS UNTIL ORIGINAL SIZE IN INCHES (11x17 FORMAT) SITE INFORMATION KENT ROAD L DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS IN THE FIELD RECTION OF ANY MATERIAL. ANY UNUSUAL ED TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER. RE PN: 20222384943 LC: 729796 ALL NECESSARY BUILDING PERMITS AND SITE ADDRESS UTILITY POLE# TBD TINGUISHER WITH A RATING OF NOT LESS ITHIN 75 FEET TRAVEL DISTANCE TO ALL ADJ TO 2 BALDWIN DR REA DURING CONSTRUCTION. AND MAINTENANCE MANUALS, CATALOGS, SHOP TOWN OF WAPPINGER NTATION SHALL BE TURNED OVER TO CARRIER TION DUTCHESS COUNTY ARANTEED FOR A PERIOD OF ONE (1) YEAR NNCE BY CARRIER. ANY WORK, MATERIALS, OR "ECTIVE DURING THAT PERIOD SHALL BE N WRITTEN NOTIFICATION AT NO ADDITIONAL NY 12590 SHEET TITLE GENERAL NOTES DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE AND G (OSHA). ENGINEER, CARRIER AND THE OWNER THE EVENT THE CONTRACTOR DOES NOT TIONS. 24. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ACCESS TO THE SITE AND ASSIST THE RADIO EQUIPMENT VENDOR AND THE ANTENNA INSTALLATION CONTRACTOR AS THEY MAY REQUIRE. SHEET NUMBER GN-1





D	PARCEL #	OWNER_F	OWNER_L	STREET #	ADDRESS	ST_SUFF	CITY	STATE	ZIP
1	135689-6258-04-568061	Juan	Acosta	2	Baldwin	Dr	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
2	135689-6258-04-559060	Karen	Keim	3399	Mount Zion	Rd	Weedville	PA	15868
3	135689-6258-04-549059	Jay David	Ferris	80	Kent	Rd	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
4	135689-6258-04-540058	Matthew	Ketcham	78	Kent	Rd	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
5	135689-6258-04-543077	Miroslaw	Sulewski	1	Pippin	Ln	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
6	135689-6258-04-542090	Robert	Cofer	3	Pippin	Ln	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
7	135689-6258-04-563083	Yusuf	Burns	83	Kent	Rd	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
8	135689-6258-04-573083	Steven	Werdal	85	Kent	Rd	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
9	135689-6258-04-583083	Fredyn	Danso	87	Kent	Rd	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
10	135689-6258-04-574095	Olga	Viggiano	4	Pippin	Ln	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
11	135689-6258-04-572102	Joseph	Doherty	6	Pippin	Ln	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
12	135689-6258-04-612096	Jeremy	McCaffrey	3	Robin	Ln	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
13	135689-6258-04-612084	Ayechia	Perez-Cruz	1	Robin	Ln	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
14	135689-6258-04-605061	Manuel	Emanuel	90	Kent	Rd	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
15	135689-6258-04-594061	Ralph	Ortiz	88	Kent	Rd	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
16	135689-6258-04-584061	Michael	Jandrew	1	Baldwin	Dr	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
17	135689-6258-04-594046	James	Hoffman	3	Baldwin	Dr	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590
18	135689-6258-04-588037	Mark	Klebes	5	Baldwin	Dr	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
19	135689-6258-04-556039	Robert	Perrin	6	Baldwin	Dr	Wappinger Falls	NY	12590
20	135689-6258-04-555047	Susana	Natal	4	Baldwin	Dr	Wappingers Falls	NY	12590

NOTE:

1. THE PROPERTY LINES HEREON ARE APPROXIMATE BASED ON GIS DATA AND ARE FOR ORIENTATION PURPOSES ONLY. THEY DO NOT REPRESENT A PROPERTY/BOUNDARY DECISION BY A LAND SURVEYOR.

ADJOINERS PLAN (AD-1) SCALE: 1" = 100' (11×17 SIZE) 1" = 50' (22×34 SIZE)













5 PROPOSED SIGNAGE



DOCUMENTATION OF PUBLIC UTILITY STATUS and OVERVIEW OF ROSENBERG DECISION

In *Cellular Tel. Co. v. Rosenberg*, 82 N.Y.2d 364 (1993), the New York Court of Appeals determined that cellular telephone companies are public utilities. The Court held that proposed cellular telephone installations are to be reviewed by zoning boards pursuant to the traditional standard afforded to public utilities, rather than the standards generally required for the necessary approvals:

It has long been held that a zoning board may not exclude a utility from a community where the utility has shown a need for its facilities. There can be no question of [the carrier's] need to erect the cell site to eliminate service gaps in its cellular telephone service area. The proposed cell site will also improve the transmission and reception of existing service. Application of our holding in Matter of Consolidated Edison to sitings of cellular telephone companies, such as [the applicant], permits those companies to construct structures necessary for their operation which are prohibited because of existing zoning laws and to provide the desired services to the surrounding community. . . . Moreover, the record supports the conclusion that [the applicant] sustained its burden of proving the requisite public necessity. [The applicant] established that the erection of the cell site would enable it to remedy gaps in its service area that currently prevent it from providing adequate service to its customers in the . . . area.

Rosenberg, 82 N.Y.2d at 372-74 (citing Consolidated Edison Co. v. Hoffman, 43 N.Y.2d 598 (1978)).

This special treatment of a public utility stems from the essential nature of its service, and the fact that a public utility transmitting facility must be located in a particular area in order to provide service. For instance, water towers, electric switching stations, water pumping stations and telephone poles must be in particular locations (including within residential districts) in order to provide the utility to a specific area: [Public] utility services are needed in all districts; the service can be provided only if certain facilities (for example, substations) can be located in commercial and even in residential districts. To exclude such use would result in an impairment of an essential service.

Anderson, New York Zoning Law Practice, 3d ed., p. 411 (1984) (hereafter "Anderson"). See also, *Cellular Tel. Co. v. Rosenberg*, 82 N.Y.2d 364 (1993); *Payne v. Taylor*, 178 A.D.2d 979 (4th Dep't 1991).

Accordingly, the law in New York is that a municipality may not prohibit facilities, including towers, necessary for the transmission of a public utility. In *Rosenberg*, 82 N.Y.2d at 371, the court found that "the construction of an antenna tower... to facilitate the supply of cellular telephone service is a 'public utility building' within the meaning of a zoning ordinance." See also *Long Island Lighting Co. v. Griffin*, 272 A.D. 551 (2d Dep't 1947) (a municipal corporation may not prohibit the expansion of a public utility where such expansion is necessary to the maintenance of essential services).

In the present case, Verizon Wireless does not have reliable service capacity in the Town. The communications facility proposed is necessary to remedy this service problem and to provide adequate and reliable wireless telecommunications service coverage to this area. Therefore, Verizon Wireless satisfies the requisite showing of need for the facility under applicable New York law.

DOCUMENTATION OF PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITY STATUS and FEDERAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

In addition to being considered a public utility under New York decisional law, Verizon Wireless is classified as a provider of "personal wireless services" under the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "TCA").

As stated in the long title of the Act, the goal of the TCA is to "promote competition and reduce regulation in order to secure lower prices and higher quality services for American telecommunications consumers and encourage the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies." *Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. LA. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996).*

The TCA mandates a process designed to achieve competitive telecommunications markets. In keeping with the central goals of the TCA, the authors specify in Section 253(a) that "[n]o State or local statute or regulation...may prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the ability of any entity to provide any interstate or intrastate telecommunications service." *TCA Section* 253(a), emphasis added.

Section 332(c) of the TCA preserves the authority of a State or local government or instrumentality thereof over decisions regarding the placement, construction and modification of personal wireless service facilities, subject to several important limitations:

- the "regulation of the placement...of personal wireless service facilities by any State or local government or instrumentality thereof shall not unreasonably discriminate among providers of functionally equivalent services" (*TCA* \$332(c)(7)(B)(i)(I));
- the "regulation of the placement...of personal wireless service facilities by any State or local government or instrumentality thereof shall not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services" (*TCA* \$332(c)(7)(B)(i)(II));
- Applications must be processed within a reasonable period of time, and any decision to deny a request for placement of personal wireless service facilities must be in writing and supported by substantial evidence contained in a written record $(TCA \ \$\$332(c)(7)(B)(ii) \ and \ (iii));$ and
- regulations based upon the perceived environmental effects of radio frequency emissions are prohibited, so long as the proposed personal wireless service facility complies with FCC regulations concerning such emissions (*TCA* \$332(c)(7)(B)(iv)).

A reference copy of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 is included herewith.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT 104-458

TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

JANUARY 31, 1996. Ordered to be printed

Mr. BLILEY, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany S. 652]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 652), to provide for a pro-competitive, de-regulatory national policy framework designed to accelerate rapidly private sector deployment of advanced telecommunications and information technologies and services to all Americans by opening all telecommunications markets to competition, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the text of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the House amendment, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCES.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Telecommunications Act of 1996".

(b) REFERENCES.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 151 et seq.).

SEC. 2. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; references.

Sec. 2. Table of contents. Sec. 3. Definitions.

22-327

Federal Communications Commission Library

tity that has obtained an attachment to such conduit or right-of-way so that such entity may have a reasonable oper stanty to add to or modify its existing attachment. Any stary that adds to or modifies its existing attachment of receiving such notification shall bear a proportionate share of the costs incurred by the owner in making such a lot auct, conduit, or right-of-way accessible.

right-of-way shall not be required to bear any of the story rearranging or replacing its attachment if the rearrangement or replacement is required and result of an additional attachment or the modification of an existing attachment sought by any other entity

SEC. 704. FACILITIES SITING; RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSION STAND-ARDS.

(a) NATIONAL WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SITING POL-ICY.—Section 332(c) (47 U.S.C. 332(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(7) PRESERVATION OF LOCAL ZONING AUTHORITY.—

"(A) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—Except as provided in this paragraph, nothing in this Act shall limit or affect the authority of a State or local government or instrumentality thereof over decisions regarding the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities. "(B) LIMITATIONS.—

"(i) The regulation of the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities by any State or local government or instrumentality thereof—

"(I) shall not unreasonably discriminate among providers of functionally equivalent services; and

"(II) shall not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services.

"(ii) A State or local government or instrumentality thereof shall act on any request for authorization to place, construct, or modify personal wireless service facilities within a reasonable period of time after the request is duly filed with such government or instrumentality, taking into account the nature and scope of such request.

"(iii) Any decision by a State or local government or instrumentality thereof to deny a request to place, construct, or modify personal wireless service facilities shall be in writing and supported by substantial evidence contained in a written record.

"(iv) No State or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Commission's regulations concerning such emissions. "(v) Any person adversely affected by any final action or failure to act by a State or local government or any instrumentality thereof that is inconsistent with this subparagraph may, within 30 days after such action or failure to act, commence an action in any court of competent jurisdiction. The court shall hear and decide such action on an expedited basis. Any person adversely affected by an act or failure to act by a State or local government or any instrumentality thereof that is inconsistent with clause (iv) may petition the Commission for relief.

"(C) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this paragraph—

"(i) the term 'personal wireless services' means commercial mobile services, unlicensed wireless services, and common carrier wireless exchange access services;

"(ii) the term 'personal wireless service facilities' means facilities for the provision of personal wireless services; and

"(iii) the term 'unlicensed wireless service' means the offering of telecommunications services using duly authorized devices which do not require individual licenses, but does not mean the provision of direct-to-

home satellite services (as defined in section 303(v)).". (b) RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS.—Within 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Commission shall complete action in ET Docket 93-62 to prescribe and make effective rules regarding the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions. (c) AVAILABILITY OF PROPERTY.—Within 180 days of the enact-

ment of this Act, the President or his designee shall prescribe procedures by which Federal departments and agencies may make available on a fair, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory basis, property, rights-of-way, and easements under their control for the placement of new telecommunications services that are dependent, in whole or in part, upon the utilization of Federal spectrum rights for the transmission or reception of such services. These procedures may establish a presumption that requests for the use of property, rightsof way, and easements by duly authorized providers should be granted absent unavoidable direct conflict with the department or agency's mission, or the current or planned use of the property, rights-of-way, and easements in question. Reasonable fees may be charged to providers of such telecommunications services for use of property, rights-of-way, and easements. The Commission shall provide technical support to States to encourage them to make property, rights-of-way, and easements under their jurisdiction available for such purposes.

RIERS.

Section 332(c) (47 U.S.C. 332(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(8) MOBILE SERVICES ACCESS.—A person engaged in the provision of mamercial mobile services, insofar as such person ic to engaged, shall not be required to provide equal access to portionate share of the costs incurred by the owner in making such conduit or right-of-way accessible.

Conference agreement

The conference agreement adopts the Senate provision with modifications. The conference agreement amends section 224 of the Communications Act by adding new subsection (e)(1) to allow parties to negotiate the rates, terms, and conditions for attaching to poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way owned or controlled by utilities. New subsection 224(e)(2) establishes a new rate formula charged to telecommunications carriers for the non-useable space of each pole. Such rate shall be based upon the number of attaching entities. The conferees also agree to three additional provisions from the House amendment. First, subsection (g) requires utilities that engage in the provision of telecommunications services or cable services to impute to its costs of providing such service an equal amount to the pole attachment rate for which such company would be liable under section 224. Second, new subsection 224(h) requires utilities to provide written notification to attaching entities of any plans to modify or alter its poles, ducts, conduit, or rights-of-way. New subsection 224(h) also requires any attaching entity that takes advantage of such opportunity to modify its own attachments shall bear a proportionate share of the costs of such alterations. Third, new subsection 224(i) prevents a utility from imposing the cost of rearrangements to other attaching entities if done solely for the benefit of the utility.

SECTION 704—FACILITIES SITING; RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSION STANDARDS

Senate bill

No provision.

House amendment

Section 108 of the House amendment required the Commission to issue regulations within 180 days of enactment for siting of CMS. A negotiated rulemaking committee comprised of State and local governments, public safety agencies and the affected industries were to have attempted to develop a uniform policy to propose to the Commission for the siting of wireless tower sites.

The House amendment also required the Commission to complete its pending Radio Frequency (RF) emission exposure standards within 180 days of enactment. The siting of facilities could not be denied on the basis of RF emission levels for facilities that were in compliance with the Commission standard.

The House amendment also required that to the greatest extent possible the Federal government make available to use of Federal property, rights-of-way, easements and any other physical instruments in the siting of wireless telecommunications facilities.

Conference agreement

The conference agreement creates a new section 704 which prevents Commission preemption of local and State land use decisions and preserves the authority of State and local governments over zoning and land use matters except in the limited circumstances set forth in the conference agreement. The conference agreement also provides a mechanism for judicial relief from zoning decisions that fail to comply with the provisions of this section. It is the intent of the conferees that other than under section 332(c)(7)(B)(iv)of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by this Act and section 704 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 the courts shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all other disputes arising under this section. Any pending Commission rulemaking concerning the preemption of local zoning authority over the placement, construction or modification of CMS facilities should be terminated.

When utilizing the term "functionally equivalent services" the conferees are referring only to personal wireless services as defined in this section that directly compete against one another. The intent of the conferees is to ensure that a State or local government does not in making a decision regarding the placement, construction and modification of facilities of personal wireless services described in this section unreasonably favor one competitor over another. The conferees also intend that the phrase "unreasonably discriminate among providers of functionally equivalent services" will provide localities with the flexibility to treat facilities that create different visual, aesthetic, or safety concerns differently to the extent permitted under generally applicable zoning requirements even if those facilities provide functionally equivalent services. For example, the conferees do not intend that if a State or local government grants a permit in a commercial district, it must also grant a permit for a competitor's 50-foot tower in a residential district.

Actions taken by State or local governments shall not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the placement, construction or modification of personal wireless services. It is the intent of this section that bans or policies that have the effect of banning personal wireless services or facilities not be allowed and that decisions be made on a case-by-case basis.

Under subsection (c)(7)(B)(ii), decisions are to be rendered in a reasonable period of time, taking into account the nature and scope of each request. If a request for placement of a personal wireless service facility involves a zoning variance or a public hearing or comment process, the time period for rendering a decision will be the usual period under such circumstances. It is not the intent of this provision to give preferential treatment to the personal wireless service industry in the processing of requests, or to subject their requests to any but the generally applicable time frames for zoning decision.

The phrase "substantial evidence contained in a written record" is the traditional standard used for judicial review of agency actions.

The conferees intend section 332(c)(7)(B)(iv) to prevent a State or local government or its instrumentalities from basing the regulation of the placement, construction or modification of CMS facilities directly or indirectly on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions if those facilities comply with the Commission's regulations adopted pursuant to section 704(b) concerning such emissions. The limitations on the role and powers of the Commission under this subparagraph relate to local land use regulations and are not intended to limit or affect the Commission's general authority over radio telecommunications, including the authority to regulate the construction, modification and operation of radio facilities.

The conferees intend that the court to which a party appeals a decision under section 332(c)(7)(B)(v) may be the Federal district court in which the facilities are located or a State court of competent jurisdiction, at the option of the party making the appeal, and that the courts act expeditiously in deciding such cases. The term "final action" of that new subparagraph means final administrative action at the State or local government level so that a party can commence action under the subparagraph rather than waiting for the exhaustion of any independent State court remedy otherwise required.

With respect to the availability of Federal property for the use of wireless telecommunications infrastructure sites under section 704(c), the conferees generally adopt the House provisions, but substitute the President or his designee for the Commission.

It should be noted that the provisions relating to telecommunications facilities are not limited to commercial mobile radio licensees, but also will include other Commission licensed wireless common carriers such as point to point microwave in the extremely high frequency portion of the electromagnetic spectrum which rely on line of sight for transmitting communication services.

CARRIERS

Senate bill

Subsection (b) of section 221 of the Senate bill, as passed, states that notwithstanding the MFJ or any other consent decree, no CMS provider will be required by court order or otherwise to provide long distance equal access. The Commission may only order equal access if a CMS provider is subject to the interconnection obligations of section 251 and if the Commission finds that such a requirement is in the public interest. CMS providers shall ensure that its subscribers can obtain unblocked access to the interexchange carrier of their choice through the use of interexchange carrier identification codes, except that the unblocking requirement shall pot apply to mobile satellite services unless the Commission finds this in the public interest.

House amendment

Under section 109 of the House amendment, the Commission shall require providers of two-way switched voice CMS to allow their subscribers to access the telephone toll services provider of their choice through the use of carrier identification codes. The Commission rules will supersede the equal access, balloting and prescription requirements imposed by the MFJ and the AT&T-McCar consent decree. The Commission may exempt carriers or classes of carriers from the requirements of this section if it is contistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, and the

REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

F	ederal Communi Wircless Telecom RADIO STATION	cations Con munications Bu AUTHORIZAT	1mission ^{reau} FION	
LICENSEE: CELLCO PA	RTNERSHIP			
ATTN: REGULATORY			Call Sig WQJQ68	n File Number 9
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP 5055 NORTH POINT PK ALPHARETTA, GA 3002	, WY, NP2 NE NETWORK EN 2	GINEERING	WU - 700 N	Radio Service MHz Upper Band (Block C)
CC Registration Number (FRN Grant Date 09-11-2019	(): 0003290673 Effective Date 07-15-2020	Expirati 06-13-	on Date -2029	Print Date
Market Number REA001	Cha	nnel Block C	S	ub-Market Designator
	Mark No	set Name rtheast		
1st Build-out Date 06-13-2013	2nd Build-out Date 06-13-2019	3rd Build-	out Date	4th Build-out Date
			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

Waivers/Conditions:

If the facilities authorized herein are used to provide broadcast operations, whether exclusively or in combination with other services, the licensee must seek renewal of the license either within eight years from the commencement of the broadcast service or within the term of the license had the broadcast service not been provided, whichever period is shorter in length. See 47 CFR §27.13(b).

This authorization is conditioned upon compliance with section 27.16 of the Commission's rules

Conditions:

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

Call Sign: WQJQ689	File N	umber:	Print Date:	
e fi ^{re}				
700 MHz Relicensed A	rea Information:			
Manhad	NA TO AND	Duildout Deedline	Duildant NatiGastion	Status
Market	Market Name	Buildout Deadine	Buildout Notification	Status
	In V ach			
	A REAL			
		48.		
		利、私		
			a second s	

6

REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

	Fede	eral Co Wireles	ommul s Teleco	nicatio mmunica	ns Cations	om Bure	missio ^{eau}	n		
		RADIO S	STATIO	N AUTH	IORÍZ	LATI	ION			
LICENSEE: VERI	ZON WIREI	LESS OF 1	THE EAST	Г LP		Γ	Call KNK	Sign	File I	Number
ATTN: REGULAT VERIZON WIREL 5055 NORTH POU	ORY ESS OF THI	EEAST LI	D TWORK	FNGINEF	RING	-		Radio CL - C	Service Cellular	
ALPHARETTA, G	A 30022			BROMED			Marke CM	t Numer A151	Chanr	nel Block B
FCC Registration Num	ber (FRN):	00076093	24				5	Sub-Marke	t Designat	or
Market Name Poughkeepsie, NY										
Grant Date 12-19-2017	Effecti 01-09	ve Date -2020	Exp	Expiration Date F 01-22-2028			Yr Build	-Out Date	Print Date 01-10-2020	
Site Information:									-	
Location Latitude	Longi	tude	G (n	round Elev neters)	vation	Stru (met	cture Hg ers)	t to Tip 🛛 A F	Antenna Si Registratio	tructure n No.
1 41-31-43.0 N Address: 1000' NE OF T City: EAST FISHKILL	073-4 HE END OF County: D	6-04.0 W WOODM UTCHESS	3: ONT ROA S State:	52.3 AD NY Con	structio	43.9 on De :	adline:	[009727	
Antenna: 4 Maximum Transmitting E	RP in Watts:	140.820								
Azimuth(from true no Antenna Height AAT (met Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 5	orth) ers)	0 269.700 47.860	45 207.900 28.840	90 153.000 3.310	135 160.60 0.200	00	180 158.100 0.200	225 101.400 0.200	270 300.200 0.390	315 315.600 7.240
Maximum Transmitting E Azimuth(from true no	RP in Watts: orth) ers)	140.820 0 269.700	45 207.900	90 153.000 8 710	135 160.60	20	180 158.100	225 101.400	270 300.200	315 315.600
Antenna Height AAT (met Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 6		0.200	0.200	0.710	30.900)	14.450	0.470	0.200	0.200

Conditions:

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

Call Sign: KNKA416 File Number:				Print Date: 01-10-2020					
Location Latitude	Longi	tude 6-50 0 W		Ground Elev (meters) 435.9	ation	Structure Hg (meters) 96.0	t to Tip	Antenna S Registratio	tructure on No.
Address: MOUNT BEACON	0,5 5	0.00.0		12017		/0.0			
City: BEACON County: D	UTCHE	SS State	e: NY	Constructio	n Dead	line:			
		-							
Antenna: 3 Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts)	Watts:	140.820 0 407.300	45 356.500	90 0 192.800	135 232.60	180 0 349.200	225 347.500	270 361.200	315 344.600
Antenna: 4 Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north)	Watts:	4.430 140.820 0	2.000 45	2.000 90	135	180	225	270	315
Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 5		407.300 0.230	356.500 0.560	0 192.800 0.200	232.60 0.200	0 349.200 0.200	347.500 0.200	361.200 0.240	344.600 0.200
Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts)	Watts:	140.820 0 407.300 0.200	45 356.500 0.200	90 0 192.800 0.200	135 232.60 0.200	180 0 349.200 2.750	225 347.500 11.020	270 361.200 4.170	315 344.600 0.200
Location Latitude	Longi	tude		Ground Elev (meters)	ation	Structure Hg (meters)	t to Tip	Antenna S Registratio	tructure on No.
3 41-31-18.0 N	073-3	8-04.0 W		328.5		86.2		1002869	
Address: (Pawling site) 1 MI	E RT 29	2 HARMC	NY HI						
Antenna: 2									
Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters)	Watts:	140.820 0 82.500	45 156.500	90 0 156.400	135 219.60	180 0 2 24.500	225 169.600	270 178.500	315 216.700
Antenna: 3 Maximum Transmitting ERP in	Watts:	475.940	314.45	0 12.520	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990	134.140
Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Fransmitting ERP (watts)		0 82.500 0.990	45 156.500 2.040	90 0 156.400 0.990	135 219.60 2.320	180 0 224.500 1.170	225 169.600 0.9 90	270 178.500 0.990	315 216.700 0.990
Antenna: 4 Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north)	Watts:	140.820 0	45	90	135	180	225	270	315
Antenna Height AAT (meters) Fransmitting ERP (watts)		82.500 0.990	156.500 0.990	0 156.400 0.990	219.60 0.990	0 224.500 3.660	169.600 1.310	178.500 1.030	216.700 4.230
								A second se	
								j	FCC 601-

Licensee Name: VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP

Call Sign: KNKA416 File Number:					Print Date: 01-10-2020					
Location Latitude 4 41-43-10.1 N Address: ATOP ILLINOIS M	In LatitudeLongitudeGround Elevation (meters)Struct (meters)41-43-10.1 N073-59-43.3 W337.794.5s: ATOP ILLINOIS MOUNTAIN LLOYD (010329)			Structure Hg (meters) 94.5	gt to Tip	Antenna Structure Registration No. 1007753				
City: Highland County: UI	LSTER State: N	Y Cons	truction D	eadline						
Antenna: 2 Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 3	1 Watts: 140.820 0 278.100 0.200	45 334.300 0.200	90 350.300 0.200	135 360.80 1.410	180 00 284.400 9.980	225 236.800 6.380	270 318.900 0.300	315 317.000 0.200		
Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 4	1 Watts: 140.820 0 278.100 3.260	45 334.300 0.200	90 350.300 0.200	135 360.80 0.200	180 00 284.400 0.200	225 236.800 0.200	270 318.900 3.580	315 317.000 11.220		
Maximum Transmitting ERP in Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts)	1 Watts: 140.820 0 278.100 0.200	45 334,300 0.350	90 350.300 0.640	135 360.80 0.200	180 284.400 0.200	225 236.800 0.200	270 318.900 0.200	315 317.000 0.250		
Location Latitude	Longitude	G (n	round Elev 1eters)	ation	Structure Hg (meters)	gt to Tip	Antenna S Registratio	tructure n No.		
5 41-57-03.7 N Address: (Milan site) 616 SA City: MILAN County: DU	073-48-18.0 W LISBURY TURNF TCHESS State:	22 PIKE NY Co	26.8 nstruction	Deadlii	44.5 ne:					
Antonno: 5										
Maximum Transmitting ERP ir Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 6	1 Watts: 140.820 0 166.800 80.690	45 84.300 84.600	90 70.400 72.710	135 89.400 10.310	180) 130.700) 0 .980	225 170.400 0.980	270 204.900 0.980	315 216.900 19.790		
Maximum Transmitting ERP ir Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 7	1 Watts: 140.820 0 166.800 0.980	45 84.300 5.530	90 70.400 87.520	135 86.700 360.78	180 130.700 30 269.880	225 170.400 38.620	270 204.900 1.270	315 216.900 0.980		
Maximum Transmitting ERP ir Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts)	1 Watts: 140.820 0 166.800 28.320	45 84.300 0.980	90 70.400 0.980	135 86.700	180) 130.700 7 870	225 170.400	270 204.900 375 560	315 216.900 238 530		

Licensee Name: VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP

.

Licensee Name:	VERIZON	WIRELESS	OF THE EAST LP

Call Sign: KNKA416	File Number:				Print Date: 01-10-2020					
LocationLatitudeLor641-55-02.3 N073Address:SMITHFIELD ROAD	ngitude 8-34-16.5 W	Gr (m 41	round Elev eters) 3.6	ation	Stru (met 57.9	cture Hg ers)	t to Tip	Antenna S Registratio	tructure on No.	
City: NORTHEAST County: DU	JTCHESS	State: NY	Constr	uction	Deadli	ine:				
Antenna: 2 Maximum Transmitting ERP in Watt Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 3 Maximum Transmitting ERP in Watt Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts) Antenna: 4	ts: 140.820 0 240.100 12.400 ts: 140.820 0 240.100 35.100	45 113.100 9.700 45 113.100 21.700	90 226.000 12.200 90 226.000 16.300	135 214.6 21.70 135 214.6 11.90	00 0 00 0	180 185.500 29.800 180 185.500 11.400	225 203.500 33.800 225 203.500 13.400	270 260.800 32.000 270 260.800 27.000	315 294.100 22.100 315 294.100 36.900	
Maximum Transmitting ERP in Watt Azimuth(from true north) Antenna Height AAT (meters) Transmitting ERP (watts)	ts: 140.820 0 240.100 2.010	45 113.100 17.110	90 226.000 10.250	135 214.6 13.92	00 0	180 185.500 9.290	225 203.500 0.200	270 260.800 0.200	315 294.100 0.200	
Control Points: Control Pt. No. 3 Address: 500 W. Dove Road City: Southlake County: TARR	ANT Stat	e: TX T	elephone I	Numbe	r: (80())264-662	20			
Waivers/Conditions:										

Waivers/Conditions:

.

Special Condition for AU/name change (6/4/2016): Grant of the request to update licensee name is conditioned on it not reflecting an assignment or transfer of control (see Rule 1.948); if an assignment or transfer occurred without proper notification or FCC approval, the grant is void and the station is licensed under the prior name.

REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

F	ederal Communica Wireless Telecommu	tions Comm	ission			
	RADIO STATION A	UTHORIZATIO	N			
LICENSEE: CELLCO PA	RTNERSHIP					
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP			Call Sign WQGA906	File Number 0009773259		
5055 NORTH POINT PKV ALPHARETTA, GA 3002	VY, NP2NE N ETWORK ENGI 2	NEERING	Radio Service AW - AWS (1710-1755 MHz and 2110-2155 MHz)			
C Registration Number (FRN	I): 0003290673					
Grant Date 12-21-2021	Effective Date 12-21-2021	Expiration D 11-29-2036	ate 5	Print Date 12-21-2021		
Market Number BEA010	Channe B	l Block	Sub-Market De 15			
	Market New York-No. Ne	Name w JerLong Isl				
1st Build-out Date	1st Build-out Date 2nd Build-out Date 3r			th Build-out Date		

Waivers/Conditions:

This authorization is conditioned upon the licensee, prior to initiating operations from any base or fixed station, making reasonable efforts to coordinate frequency usage with known co-channel and adjacent channel incumbent federal users operating in the 1710-1755 MHz band whose facilities could be affected by the proposed operations. Sec, e.g., FCC and NTIA Coordination Procedures in the 1710-1755 MHz Band, Public Notice, FCC 06-50, WTB Docket No. 02-353, rel. April 20. 2006.

Conditions:

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license. refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP



REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

F	ederal Communic Wireless Telecomm RADIO STATION	eations Com nunications Bur AUTHORIZAT	mission ^{eau} ION	
LICENSEE: CELLCO PA	ARTNERSHIP			
CELLCO PARTNERSHI		Γ	Call Sign WQWY360	File Number 0009762590
5055 NORTH POINT PK ALPHARETTA, GA 3002	WY, NP2NE NETWORK ENG 22	GINEERING	R AW - AWS 21	tadio Service 5 (1710-1755 MHz and 10-2155 MHz)
FCC Registration Number (FRN Grant Date	N): 0003290673 Effective Date	Expiratio	n Date	Print Date
02-09-2022	02-09 -20 22	11-29-2	036	02-10-2022
Market Number REA001	Chan	nel Block D	Sut	p-Market Designator 2
	Marke Nort	t Name heast		
1st Build-out Date	2nd Build-out Date	3rd Build-ou	it Date	4th Build-out Date

Waivers/Conditions:

This authorization is conditioned upon the licensee, prior to initiating operations from any base or fixed station, making reasonable efforts to coordinate frequency usage with known co-channel and adjacent channel incumbent federal users operating in the 1710-1755 MHz band whose facilities could be affected by the proposed operations. See, e.g., FCC and NTIA Coordination Procedures in the 1710-1755 MHz Band, Public Notice, FCC 06-50, WTB Docket No. 02-353, rel. April 20. 2006.

AWS operations must not cause harmful interference across the Canadian or Mexican Border. The authority granted herein is subject to future international agreements with Canada or Mexico, as applicable.

Conditions:

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.
Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP



REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

F	ederal Communic Wireless Telecomm RADIO STATION A	eations Comm nunications Burear AUTHORIZATIO	ission u VN	
LICENSEE: CELLCO PA	ARTNERSHIP			
CELLCO PARTNERSHI			Call Sign WQWY361	File Number 0009762572
ALPHARETTA, GA 3002	2	JINEERING	Rad AW - AWS (1 2110-2	io Service 710-1755 MHz and 2155 MHz)
Registration Number (FRN Grant Date 02-09-2022	T): 0003290673 Effective Date 02-09-2022	Expiration D 11-29-2036	Date 6	Print Date 02-10-2022
Market Number BEA010	Chani	nel Block C	Sub-M	larket Designator
	Marke New York-No. N	t Name Jew J erL ong Isl		
1st Build-out Date	2nd Build-out Date	3rd Build-out I	Date	4th Build-out Date
		A second se	I	

This authorization is conditioned upon the licensee, prior to initiating operations from any base or fixed station, making reasonable efforts to coordinate frequency usage with known co-channel and adjacent channel incumbent federal users operating in the 1710-1755 MHz band whose facilities could be affected by the proposed operations. See, e.g., FCC and NTIA Coordination Procedures in the 1710-1755 MHz Band, Public Notice, FCC 06-50, WTB Docket No. 02-353, rel. April 20. 2006.

Conditions:

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP



REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

F	ederal Communica Wircless Telecommu RADIO STATION AU	tions Comr	nission ^{au} ON	
LICENSEE: CELLCO PA	ART NERSHIP			
ATTN: REGULATORY		Γ	Call Sign WRNE581	File Number
CELLCO PARTNERSHI 5055 NORTH POINT PK ALPHARETTA, GA 3002) WY, NP2NE NETWORK ENGI 2	NEERING	Ra PM - 3.	dio Service .7 GHz Service
C Registration Number (FRN Grant Date 07-23-2021	I): 0003290673 Effective Date 07-23-2021	Expiration 07-23-20	Date 36	Print Date
Market Number PEA001	Channel A1	l Block I	Sub-	Market Designator ()
	Market N New York	Name k, NY		
1st Build-out Date 07-23-2029	2nd Build-out Date 07-23-2033	3rd Build-ou	t Date	4th Build-out Date
ivers/Conditions:				

Operation for this combination license grants both interim and final rights for this PEA and is not impacted by the relocation process pursuant to 47 CFR ? 27.1412(g).

License is conditioned on compliance with all applicable FCC rules and regulations, including licensee making payments required by 47 C.F.R. §§ 27.1401- 27.1424 as described in FCC 20-22. See FCC 20-22, paras. 178-331.

Conditions:

COD CTC

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

Call Sign: WRNE581	File Nun	nber:	Print Date:	
700 MHz Relicensed A	Area Information:			
Market		Buildout Deadline	Buildout Notification	Status
		-~&##:</th><th>And and and and and and and and and and a</th><th></th></tr><tr><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>An and a second second</th><th>A constraint of the constraint</th></tr></tbody></table>		

REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

	RADIO STATION A	ations Comi unications Bure AUTHORIZATI	mission ^{2au} 10N	
LICENSEE: CELLCO P.	ARTNERSHIP			
ATTN: REGULATORY			Call Sign WRNE582	File Number
5055 NORTH POINT PK ALPHARETTA, GA 3002	YY, NP2NE NETWORK ENG 22	INEERING	Rac PM - 3.	d io Service 7 GHz Service
C Registration Number (FR Grant Date 07-23-2021	N): 0003290673 Effective Date 07-23-2021	Expiration 07-23-20	n Date 036	Print Date
	Chann	el Block	Sub-N	Market Designator
PEA001	7	12		0
PEA001	Market New Yo	A2 Name rk, NY		0

Waivers/Conditions:

Operation for this combination license grants both interim and final rights for this PEA and is not impacted by the relocation process pursuant to 47 CFR ? 27.1412(g).

License is conditioned on compliance with all applicable FCC rules and regulations, including licensee making payments required by 47 C.F.R. §§ 27.1401- 27.1424 as described in FCC 20-22. See FCC 20-22, paras. 178-331.

Conditions:

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP



REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

Federal Communi Wireless Telecom RADIO STATION	cations Com munications Bur AUTHORIZAT	1mission reau TION	
PART NERSHIP			
		Call Sig WRNE58	n File Number
IP KWY, NP2NE NETWORK EN 122	IGINEERING	PM	Radio Service 1 - 3.7 GHz Service
N): 0003290673 Effective Date	Expiratio	on Date	Print Date
07-23-2021	07-23-	2036	
Char Char	nnel Block A3	S	ub-Market Designator 0
Mark New Y	et Name York, NY		
	Pederal Community Wireless Telecom RADIO STATION PARTNERSHIP IP (WY, NP2NE NETWORK EN 22 (N): 0003290673 Effective Date 07-23-2021 Chai Mark New M	Federal Communications Con- Wireless Telecommunications Bur- RADIO STATION AUTHORIZAT PARTNERSHIP IP (WY, NP2NE NETWORK ENGINEERING 22 SN): 0003290673 Effective Date 07-23-2021 Channel Block A3	Federal Communications Commission Wireless Telecommunications Bureau RADIO STATION AUTHORIZATION PARTNERSHIP IP (WY, NP2NE NETWORK ENGINEERING 122 SN): 0003290673 Effective Date 07-23-2021 Channel Block A3 Market Name New York, NY

Waivers/Conditions:

Operation for this combination license grants both interim and final rights for this PEA and is not impacted by the relocation process pursuant to 47 CFR ? 27.1412(g).

License is conditioned on compliance with all applicable FCC rules and regulations, including licensee making payments required by 47 C.F.R. §§ 27.1401- 27.1424 as described in FCC 20-22. See FCC 20-22, paras. 178-331.

Conditions:

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

Call Sign: WRNE583	File Numl	oer:	Print Date:	
And				
/00 MHZ Relicensed A	rea information:			
Market	Market Name	Buildout Deadline	Buildout Notification	Status
		1000 1000		
			And the second s	
			Additional and a second	
				An and a second
			an a	

REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

	Wireless Telecom	munications Bu	reau		
CALL CALL	RADIO STATION	AUTHORIZA	TION		
LICENSEE: CELLCO PA	RTNERSHIP				
ATTN: REGULATORY			Call Sig WQDU93	n File Number 8	
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP 5055 NORTH POINT PKV ALPHARETTA, GA 3002	WY , NP2 NE NETWORK EN 2	GINEERING	CW	Radio Service / - PCS Broadband	
C Registration Number (FRN): 0003290673			- 	
Grant Date 10-08-2015	- Effective Date 02-16-2017	Expirati 11-04	on Date -2025	Print Date	
Market Number BTA361	Cha	nnel Block C	S	ub-Market Designator 3	
	Mark Poughkeepsi	et Name e-Kingston, NY			
1st Build-out Date 11-04-2010	2nd Build-out Date	3rd Build-	out Date	4th Build-out Date	
vers/Conditions: NE					

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP



REFERENCE COPY

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

	Federal Communic Wireless Telecomm RADIO STATION A	ations Comm unications Burea AUTHORIZATIC	uission u DN	
LICENSEE: CELLCO P	ARTNERSHIP			
ATTN: REGULATORY			Call Sign WQEM958	File Number
CELLCO PARTNERSHI 5055 NORTH POINT PK ALPHARETTA, GA 3002	P WY, NP2NE NETWORK ENG 22	INEERING	Radi CW - PC	o Service S Broadband
C Registration Number (FR) Grant Date 03-14-2016	N): 0003290673 Effective Date 11-01-2016	Expiration I 03-08-202	Date 6	Print Date
Market Number BTA361	Chann	el Block C	Sub-M	arket Designator 5
	Market Poughkeepsie-	Name Kingston, NY		
1st Build-out Date 03-08-2011	2nd Build-out Date	3rd Build-out	Date	4th Build-out Date
ivers/Conditions:		 Antipation of the second s		

Special Condition for AU/name change (6/4/2016): Grant of the request to update licensee name is conditioned on it not reflecting an assignment or transfer of control (see Rule 1.948); if an assignment or transfer occurred without proper notification or FCC approval, the grant is void and the station is licensed under the prior name.

Conditions:

Pursuant to \$309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. \$309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by \$706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP



Verizon Wireless Communications Facility

Engineering Necessity Case – "Kent Road and Spook Hill Park"



Prepared by: Wasif Sharif

Project: The project is the installation and operation of new co-located wireless telecommunications sites in the Town of Wappinger (the "Project Facilities").



Verizon macro facilities within 5 miles of the proposed sites

Verizon Site Name 🚽	Address	Site Status	Latitude 🔻	Longitude 🔻	Ground Elevatior	Antenna Height 🔻	Frequency 🗾
BEEKMAN GOLF	Carpenter Road, East Fishkill, NY12533	On-Air	41.57788056	-73.7844	367.45	108.5	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band
CHELSEA MICRO - A	21 Market Street, Wappinger Falls, NY12512	On-Air	41.55311667	-73.96905278	19.69	43	AWS, PCS
DALEY RD	272 Titusville Road, Poughkeepsie, NY12603	On-Air	41.65852778	-73.85245278	229.66	44.8	AWS, PCS
EAST FISHKILL	1920 Rte 52, East Fishkill, NY12533	On-Air	41.551917	-73.794306	278.87	105	AWS, WCS
FISHKILL DT	5 Merritt Boulevard, Fishkill, NY12524	On-Air	41.531542	-73.893797	213.34	120	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band
FISHKILL G1	416 Carey Road - Honness Mtn, FISHKILL, NY12524	On-Air	41.531481	-73.863467	892.39	113	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band
FISHKILL PLAINS	69 Glenns Way, Hopewell Junction, NY12533	On-Air	41.599172	-73.812972	426.51	104	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band
FISHKILL WEST	841 Route 52, Fishkill, NY12524	On-Air	41.52760555	-73.91997777	237.53	96	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band, CBRS
GLENHAM	308 Baxtertown Rd, Fishkill, NY12554	On-Air	41.55386	-73.918639	219.82	136	AWS, PCS, WCSC-band, CBRS
GROVEVILLE	750 Kirby Town Road,Beacon,NY12508	On-Air	41.52893	-73.94591	305.12	173	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band
HOPEWELL JUNCTION	888 RTE 82, hopewell Junction, NY12533	On-Air	41.578778	-73.802056	249.34	120	AWS, WCS
IMPERIAL BLVD MICRO	1582 Route 9, Wappinggers Falls, NY12590	On-Air	41.59753333	-73.90959722	157.48	36.8	AWS
JOHN JAY MICRO	2070 Route 52, Hopewell Junction, NY12533	On-Air	41.53859167	-73.82306111	246.06	89	AWS, PCS
KNAPPS CORNER	4 Neptune rd, Poughkeepsie, NY12601	On-Air	41.644408	-73.925208	133.08	108	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band
KNAPPS SOUTH	1893 South Rd, Poughkeepsie, NY12601	On-Air	41.61636	-73.91776	183.73	87.5	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band
LAKE WALTON STEWARTS	551 Rt 82, HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY12533	On-Air	41.57231111	-73.83424167	252.62	21.3	AWS
MYERS CORNER	155 Myers Corners Rd, Wappingers Falls, NY12590	On-Air	41.600203	-73.880736	201.27	38	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band
NEW HACKENSACK	80 Airport Dr, Wappinger Falls, NY12590	On-Air	41.629778	-73.86825	229.66	76	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band
NEW HAMBURG FD MICRO	15 Channingville Road, New Hamburg, NY12590	On-Air	41.592653	-73.941256	134.51	42.5	AWS, PCS
NEW HAMBURG MARINA MICRO - A	15 Point Street, Poughkeepsie, NY12590	On-Air	41.586296	-73.950508	9.84	47.2	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band, CBRS
OLD HOPEWELL RD	opposite 409 Old Hopewell Rd, Town of Wappinger, NY12590	Planned	41.574232	-73.866943	[291.99]	26.5	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band, CBRS
POUGHKEEPSIE GALLERIA MALL IBS	2001 South Road, Wappingers Falls, NY12590	On-Air	41.625292	-73.920914	206.69	15	AWSWCS
RED OAKS MICRO	40 Vassar Road, Poughkeepsie, NY12603	On-Air	41.652667	-73.875056	160.76	18	AWS
RED OAKS MILLS	198 Ceder Ave, Poughkeepsie, NY12063	On-Air	41.660878	-73.904858	221.3	88.5	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band, CBRS
SPOOK HILL	285 Old Hopewell Rd, Wappingers Falls, NY12590	Planned	41.576187	-73.890596	[265.75]	45.5	AWS, PCS, WCS, C-band, CBRS
SPRING RD MICRO - A	2023 South Road, Poughkeepsie, NY12601	On-Air	41.6280333	-73.9169444	173.88	17	AWS
SWARTOUTVILLE	30 Soccerfield Drive, Wappingers, NY12590	On-Air	41.58028889	-73.853775	236.22	114	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band
TIMBERLINE	260 Boardman Rd, Poughkeepsie, NY12603	On-Air	41.6594	-73.88656111	248.4	100	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band, CBRS
WAPPINGER STATE POLICE - A	20 Middlebush Road, Wappingers Falls, NY12590	On-Air	41.583192	-73.919694	141.08	118	AWS, WCS
WAPPINGERS FALLS HD	Wenliss Terrace, Wappingers Falls, NY12590	On-Air	41.59326	-73.913389	161.45	102	AWS, PCS, WCS, Cellular, C-band, CBRS

* OLD HOPEWELL RD and SPOOK HILL are not on air yet but will be considered on air throughout the document as they both are in construction phase



Introduction

The purpose of this analysis is to summarize and communicate the technical radio frequency (RF) information used in the justification of these two new sites.

Coverage and/or capacity deficiencies are the two main drivers that prompt the need for a new wireless communications facility/site. All sites provide a mixture of both capacity and coverage for the benefit of the end user.

Coverage can be defined as the existence of signal of usable strength and quality in an area, including but not limited to in-vehicles or in-buildings.

The need for improved coverage is identified by RF Engineers that are responsible for developing and maintaining the network. RF Engineers utilize both theoretical and empirical data sets (propagation maps and real world coverage measurements). Historically, coverage improvements have been the primary justification of new sites.

Capacity can be defined as the amount of traffic (voice and data) a given site can process before significant performance degradation occurs.

When traffic volume exceeds the capacity limits of a site serving a given area, network reliability and user experience degrades. Ultimately this prevents customers from making/receiving calls, applications cease functioning, internet connections time out and data speeds fail. This critical condition is more important than just a simple nuisance for some users. Degradation of network reliability and user experience can affect emergency responders and to persons in a real emergency situation can literally mean life or death.



Project Need Overview

The project areas, located in the central portion of the Town of Wappinger are currently served by two sites. This sites are overloaded requiring capacity relief. Additionally these project areas are subject to significant terrain challenges for RF (signal) propagation. This terrain combined with area foliage and long distance prevent effective propagation of Verizon's RF signals into these areas compounding the capacity issue with areas of variable coverage creating significant gaps in coverage.

The first serving site is **Swartoutville**, located in the Town of Wappinger, is approximately 1.35 miles southeast (of Kent Road project location) situated on an existing Stealth structure located off **Soccerfield Drive**. While this site provides weak/variable coverage in portions of the project areas, it does so from a terrain and distance challenged position making the site not capable of efficiently or effectively providing adequate coverage or capacity.

The second serving site is **WAPPINGERS FALLS**, located in the Town of Wappinger, is approximately a mile northwest (of Spook Hill Park project location) situated on a Water Tank located off **Wenliss Terrace**. While this site provides weak/variable coverage in portions of the project areas, it does so from a terrain and distance challenged position making the site not capable of efficiently or effectively providing adequate coverage or capacity.

Available (mid band AWS) carriers at these and other area sites are not capable of effectively serving/offloading the project area due to inherent propagation losses from distance, challenging terrain and in building coverage losses negatively impacting mid band coverage and capacity offload capabilities. There are other Verizon sites in this general area but due to distance and terrain they also do not provide any significant overlapping coverage in the area in question that could allow for increased capacity and improved coverage from other sources.

The primary objectives for these projects are to increase capacity and improve coverage throughout central portion of the Town of Wappinger, more specifically portions of Kent Road, Lake Oniad Dr., Fenmore Dr., Pippin Ln, Baldwin Dr., Spook Hill Rd, Roberts Rd, Mina Dr, Nancy Aleen Dr., as well as neighboring residential and commercial areas along and near these roads. In order to offload capacity from **Swartoutville** and **WAPPINGERS FALLS** a new dominant server must be created. This new dominant coverage will effectively offload the existing overloaded sites/cells as well as provide improved coverage where significant gaps exist today.

Following the search for co-locatable structures to resolve the aforementioned challenges, Verizon took all the existing utility poles within the search area into consideration and found none viable because of the minimum height requirement. For **Kent Road and Spook Hill Park projects,** Verizon proposes to attach the necessary antennas to the new poles (in ROW). Verizon's antenna will utilize 45.2' for the ACL (Antenna Center Line) with a top of antenna height of 46.2'. These solutions will provide the necessary coverage and capacity improvements needed.



Wireless LTE (Voice and Data) Growth

Wireless smart city solutions are being used to track available parking and minimize pollution and wasted time.

These same solutions are being used to track pedestrian and bike traffic to help planning and minimize accidents.

Smart, wireless connected lighting enables cities to control lighting remotely, saving energy and reducing energy costs by 20%.

4G technology is utilized to track and plan vehicle deliveries to minimize travel, maximize efficiency, and minimize carbon footprint.

> 4G technology is also used to monitor building power usage down to the circuit level remotely, preventing energy waste and supporting predictive maintenance on machines and equipment.

Wireless sensors placed in shipments are being used to track temperature-sensitive medications, equipment, and food. This is important for preventing the spread of food-borne diseases that kill 3,000 Americans each year.

Source: Verizon Innovation Center, February. 2018

Wireless is a critical component in schools and for today's students.

20,000 learning apps are available for iPads. 72% of iTunes top selling educational apps are designed for preschoolers and elementary students.



600+ school districts replaced text books with tablets in classrooms.

77% of parents think tablets are beneficial to kids.

74% of school administrators feel digital content increases student engagement.



70% of teens use cellphones to help with homework.

Source: CTIA's Infographics Today's Wireless Family, October, 2017

A wireless network is like a highway system...



US, mobile data traffic was 1.3 Exabytes per month in 2016, the equivalent of 334 million DVDs each month or 3,687 million text messages each second **according to** Cisco VNI Mobile Forecast Highlights, 2016-2021,Feb 2017



Wireless facilities and property values.

Cell service in and around the home has emerged as a critical factor in home-buying decisions.



National studies demonstrate that most home buyers value good cell service over many other factors including the proximity of schools when purchasing a home.



More than 75% of prospective home buyers said a good cellular connection was important to them.¹



90% of U.S. households use wireless service. Citizens need access to 911 and reverse 911 and wireless may be their only connection.²





The average North American smartphone user will consume 48 GB of data per month in 2023, up from just 5.2 GB per month in 2016 and 7.1 GB per month in 2017 .¹



wireless only.²

Of American homes are



In North America, the average household has 13 connected devices with smartphones outnumbering tablets 6 to 1.³

Ericsson Mobility Report, November 2017 CDC's 2018 Wireless Substitution: Early Relase of Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey, January-July, 2018 IHS Market Connected Device Warket Monitor: Q1 2016, June 7, 2016



With over 80% of 9-1-1 calls now coming from cell phones...¹

240 million

911 calls are made annually. In many areas, 80% or more are from wireless devices.¹

 National Emergency Number Association, Enhancing 9-1-1 Operations With Automated Abandoned Callback & Location Accuracy (Motorola Solutions) (August 23, 2018)

Explanation of Wireless Capacity



Capacity in this analysis is evaluated with up to three metrics further explained below. These metrics assist in determining actual usage for a given site as well as are used to project when a site is expected to run out of capacity (i.e. reach a point of exhaustion where it can no longer process the volume of voice and data requested by local wireless devices, thus no longer providing adequate service).

- Forward Data Volume ("**FDV**"), is a measurement of usage (data throughput) on a particular site over a given period of time.
- Average Schedule Eligible User ("ASEU"), is a measurement of the loading of the control channels and systems of a given site.
- Average Active Connections ("AvgAC") is a measurement of the number of devices actively connected to a site in any given time slot.

Verizon Wireless uses proprietary algorithms developed by a task force of engineers and computer programmers to monitor each site in the network and accurately project and identify when sites will approach their capacity limits. Using a rolling two-year window for projected exhaustion dates allows enough time, in most cases, to develop and activate a new site. It is critical that these capacity approaching sectors are identified early and the process gets started and completed in time for new solutions (sites) to be on air before network issues impact the customers.

verizon

Capacity Utilization FDV (Swartoutville Gamma)

Summary: This graph shows FDV (Forward Data Volume) which is a measurement of the customer data usage that this sector currently serves. As this limit is approached, data rates slow to unacceptable levels, potentially causing unreliable service for Verizon Wireless customers.

The purple line represents the daily max busy hour 700MHz utilization and the dark red line is daily max busy hour AWS utilization on the **Gamma** sector of the **Swartoutville** site. The red dashed line is the limit where the sector reaches exhaustion and service starts to significantly degrade. The point in time where we see the purple or dark red lines reach or exceed the red dashed line is when service quickly degrades as usage continues to increase.

Detail: The existing **Swartoutville Gamma** sector shown above has exceeded its capability of supporting FDV requirements as shown by the purple line exceeding the max utilization threshold (red dashed line). FDV is one of up to three metrics used in this presentation to evaluate capacity capability in this area. This graph also reveals the inability of the AWS carrier (dark red line) to provide the necessary capacity offload for the low band carrier due to differences in RF propagation characteristics. The solution is network densification.



Capacity Utilization FDV (Wappingers Falls Beta)



Summary: This graph shows FDV (Forward Data Volume) which is a measurement of the customer data usage that this sector currently serves. As this limit is approached, data rates slow to unacceptable levels, potentially causing unreliable service for Verizon Wireless customers.

The purple line represents the daily max busy hour 700MHz utilization and the dark red line is daily max busy hour AWS utilization on the **Beta** sector of the **Wappingers Falls** site. The red dashed line is the limit where the sector reaches exhaustion and service starts to significantly degrade. The point in time where we see the purple or dark red lines reach or exceed the red dashed line is when service quickly degrades as usage continues to increase.

Detail: The existing **Wappingers Falls Beta** sector shown above has exceeded its capability of supporting FDV requirements as shown by the purple line exceeding the max utilization threshold (red dashed line). FDV is one of up to three metrics used in this presentation to evaluate capacity capability in this area. This graph also reveals the inability of the AWS carrier (dark red line) to provide the necessary capacity offload for the low band carrier due to differences in RF propagation characteristics. The solution is network densification.

verizon /

Capacity Utilization ASEU (Wappingers Falls Beta)

-700 MHz - ASEU Utilization (%) -AWS - ASEU Utilization (%)

Summary: This graph shows ASEU (Average Schedule Eligible User). ASEU is a measurement of the loading of the control channels and systems of a given site. The ASEU load is heavily impacted by distant users or those in poor RF conditions.

The purple line represents the daily max busy hour 700MHz utilization and the dark red line is daily max busy hour AWS utilization on the **Beta** sector of the **Wappingers Falls** site. The red dashed line is the limit where the sector reaches exhaustion and service starts to significantly degrade. The point in time where we see the purple or dark red lines reach or exceed the red dashed line is when service quickly degrades as usage continues to increase.

Detail: The existing **Wappingers Falls** sector shown above has exceeded its capability of supporting ASEU requirements as shown by the purple line exceeding the max utilization threshold (red dashed line). This graph also reveals the inability of the AWS carrier (dark red line) to provide the necessary capacity offload for the low band carrier due to differences in RF propagation characteristics. The solution is network densification.

verizon /

Explanation of Wireless Coverage



Note the affect of clutter on the predicted coverage footprint above

Coverage is best shown via coverage maps. RF engineers use computer simulation tools that take into account terrain, vegetation, building types, and site specifics to model the RF environment. This model is used to simulate the real world network and assist engineers to evaluate the impact of a proposed site (along with industry experience and other tools).

Many Verizon Wireless sites provide 3G CDMA at 850 MHz and 4G LTE at 700 MHz. As capacity requirements increase, higher frequency PCS (1900 MHz) and AWS (2100 MHz) carriers are added. In some mountaintop situations the mid band (higher frequency) AWS and PCS carriers are not fully effective due to excessive distance from the user population.

Coverage provided by a given site is affected by the frequencies used. Lower frequencies propagate further distances, and are less attenuated by clutter than higher frequencies. To provide similar coverage levels at higher frequencies, a denser network of sites is required (network densification).

**Dark Green >/= -75dBm RSRP, typically serves dense urban areas as well as areas of substantial construction (colleges, hospitals, dense multi family etc.) Green >/= -85dBm RSRP, typically serves suburban single family residential and light commercial buildings Yellow >/= -95dBm RSRP, typically serves most rural/suburban-residential and in car applications Orange >/= -105dBm RSRP, rural highway coverage, subject to variable conditions including fading and seasonality gaps White = <-105dBm RSRP, variable to no reliable coverage gap area

More detailed, site-specific coverage slides are later in the presentation *Signal strength requirements vary as dictated by specific market conditions ** Not displayed in example map, layer not used in all site justifications



Explanation of this Search Area



Kent Road and Spook Hill Park Search Areas

A **Search Area** is the geographical area within which a new site is targeted to solve a coverage or capacity deficiency. Three of the factors taken into consideration when defining a search area are topography, user density, and the existing network.

- **Topography** must be considered to minimize the obstacles between the proposed site and the target coverage area. For example, a site at the bottom of a ridge will not be able to cover the other side from a certain height.
- In general, the farther from a site the User Population is, the weaker the RF conditions are and the worse their experience is likely to be. These distant users also have an increased impact on the serving site's capacity. In the case of a multi sector site, centralized proximity is essential to allow users to be evenly distributed and allow efficient utilization of the site's resources.
- The existing Network Conditions also guide the design of a new site. Sites placed too close together create interference due to overlap and are an inefficient use of resources. Sites that are too tall or not properly integrated with existing sites cause interference and degrade service for existing users.
- Existing co-locatable structures inside the search area as well as within a reasonable distance of the search area are submitted by site acquisition and reviewed by RF Engineering. If possible, RF will make use of existing or nearby structures before proposing to build new towers.

To resolve the coverage and capacity deficiencies previously detailed, Verizon Wireless is seeking to add two new cell facilities within these areas to improve wireless service capacity and coverage. By offloading traffic from **Swartoutville** and **Wappingers Falls** with the proposed sites, adequate and reliable service will be restored. The new **Kent Road** and **Spook Hill Park** sites will provide dominant and dedicated signal to the identified portions of the Town of Wappinger. This helps to improve not only the **Kent Road** and **Spook Hill Park** project areas but will also indirectly result with significant improvements in the central portion of the Town of Wappinger.



Existing 700MHz Best Server -105dBm RSRP

Best Server plots depict the actual footprint of each sector in question at one threshold so the viewer can accurately evaluate the area offloaded by the new sites dominant signal area.



The map above represents existing low band coverage from existing sites, with the sites in need of capacity offload detailed in the legend above. Blue coverage is from other on air sites.



Proposed 700MHz Best Server -105dBm RSRP

Best Server plots depict the actual footprint of each sector in question at one threshold so the viewer can accurately evaluate the area offloaded by the new sites dominant signal area.



The map above adds the low band footprint of the proposed **Kent Road** and **Spook Hill Park** sites in green and light blue respectively. The green and light blue best server footprints provide improved coverage and capacity throughout the identified significant gap areas. This will help to resolve the coverage and capacity issues impacting **Swartoutville** Gamma and **Wappingers Falls** Beta sectors.



Existing 700MHz Coverage

This coverage map shows how weak the RF conditions are in and around the Kent Road and Spook Hill Park site areas. Refer to slide 10 for further explanation of these color thresholds



The map above represents existing low band signal strength coverage from existing sites.



Proposed 700MHz Coverage

This coverage map shows how improved the RF conditions will be in and around the Kent Road and Spook Hill Park site areas. Refer to slide 10 for further explanation of these color thresholds



The map above adds the low band footprint of the proposed **Kent Road** and **Spook Hill Park** sites. The improved signal strength corresponds to improved coverage and capacity throughout the identified significant gap areas. This will help to resolve the coverage and capacity issues impacting **Swartoutville** Gamma and **Wappingers Falls** Beta sectors.



Existing 2100MHz Best Server -105dBm RSRP

Best Server plots depict the actual footprint of each sector in question at one threshold so the viewer can accurately evaluate the area offloaded by the new sites dominant signal area.



The map above represents mid band coverage from existing sites, with the sites in need of capacity offload detailed in the legend above. Blue coverage is from other on air sites.



Proposed 2100MHz Best Server -105dBm RSRP

Best Server plots depict the actual footprint of each sector in question at one threshold so the viewer can accurately evaluate the area offloaded by the new sites dominant signal area.



The map above adds the mid band footprint of the proposed **Kent Road** and **Spook Hill Park** sites in green and light blue respectively. The green and light blue best server footprints provide improved coverage and capacity throughout the identified significant gap areas. This will help to resolve the coverage and capacity issues impacting **Swartoutville** Gamma and **Wappingers Falls** Beta sectors.



Existing 2100MHz Coverage

This coverage map shows the RF conditions in and around the Kent Road and Spook Hill Park site area. Refer to slide 10 for further explanation of these color thresholds



The map above represents mid band coverage from existing sites. This 2100MHz signal is very weak throughout the project area. Additional mid band network densification is required to resolve these conditions.



Proposed 2100MHz Coverage

This coverage map shows how improved the RF conditions will be in and around the Kent Road and Spook Hill Park site area. Refer to slide 10 for further explanation of these color thresholds



The map above adds the mid band footprint of the proposed **Kent Road** and **Spook Hill Park** sites. The improved signal strength corresponds to improved coverage and capacity throughout the identified significant gap areas. This will help to resolve the coverage and capacity issues impacting **Swartoutville** Gamma and **Wappingers Falls** Beta sectors.



Midband coverage plots at alternate heights (Minimum Height Justification)



Mid band coverage is critical in the effort to balance capacity (utilization) and allow for "contained" low band sites. If adequate and reliable signal strength from mid band is not present the mobile will attach to low band only. Too many users in this RF condition will overburden low band and cause a site to become capacity exhausted requiring additional network densification. Areas of higher utilization are of particular importance in evaluating mid band height needs. Mid band spectrum on macro sites has proven to be a very capable resource and also includes CBRS-Band. These frequencies roughly in the 1.9-3.8GHz range are needed throughout the Kent Road and Spook Hill Park project areas.

As relative antenna height is increased or decreased, area (RF) clutter is either overcome allowing a site to propagate as needed or becomes obstructed causing gaps in service. The following slides (22-25) display existing on-air mid band coverage + Kent Road and Spook Hill Park Site at identified Antenna centerline (ACL).



Height Justification (Mid-Band Coverage 2100MHz)

Zoomed in + increased signal strength granularity



The map above represents mid band coverage from existing sites. Areas encircled are to be focused.



Height Justification (Mid-Band Coverage 2100MHz)

Zoomed in + increased signal strength granularity



The map above represents mid band coverage from existing sites + Kent Road and Spook Hill Park site at 55' Antenna Centerline (ACL) which is 10ft higher than the proposed ACL(45'). The purpose of adding mid band coverage plot at higher ACL is to show that a higher ACL will certainly result in improved mid band coverage but in order to be in compliance with the FCC Small Cell guidelines, Verizon's antenna tip has to be under 50'


Height Justification (Mid-Band Coverage 2100MHz)

Zoomed in + increased signal strength granularity



The map above represents mid band coverage from existing sites + Kent Road and Spook Hill Park site at 45'ACL. Mid-band footprint reduced at the proposed height but project areas will still have some acceptable coverage.



Height Justification (Mid-Band Coverage 2100MHz)

Zoomed in + increased signal strength granularity



The map above represents mid band coverage from existing sites + Kent Road and Spook Hill Park site at 30'ACL (Available RAD center on the existing Utility poles). Midband footprint significantly reduced at 30'ACL and our focus areas will have either tier 4 outdoor coverage (-95dBm to - 105dBm, which means no indoor midband coverage) or no midband coverage at all.



Height Justification Narrative/Summary

As mentioned before, Kent Road and Spook Hill Park project areas are already experiencing high network utilization, primarily on low band due to lack of adequate and reliable mid band coverage in the area. Due to the high number of users in the project area, it is important to provide adequate mid band signal strength to this objective area. Verizon RF considered the town's preference to utilize the existing utility poles but any height lower than 45' will fail the capacity objectives of these projects, As shown previously 45' ACL is not as ideal for the projects as 55' would be, however it does provide acceptable coverage for the majority of the project area.



RF Justification Summary



The proposed sites resolve the substantial and significant gaps in coverage and capacity impacting the Kent Road and Spook Hill Park project areas. The gaps are shown in the above graphic: The shaded areas as detailed in the legend represent gaps in coverage and capacity that Kent Road and Spook Hill Park (sites) will resolve.

The network was analyzed to determine whether there is sufficient **RF** coverage and capacity in the Town of Wappinger. It was determined that there are significant gaps in adequate LTE service for Verizon Wireless in 2100MHz frequency band. In addition to the coverage deficiencies, Verizon Wireless' network does not have sufficient capacity (low band or mid band) to handle the existing and projected LTE voice and data traffic in the area near and neighboring the proposed Kent Road and Spook Hill Park facilities ("targeted service improvement areas"). Based on the need for additional coverage and capacity while considering the topography and specific area requiring service, any further addition of capacity to distant existing sites does not remedy Verizon's significant gap in reliable service. Therefore, the proposed facilities are also needed to provide "capacity relief" to the existing nearby Verizon Wireless sites, allowing the proposed facilities and those neighboring sites to adequately serve the existing and projected capacity demand in this area.

With the existing network configuration there are significant gaps in service which restricts Verizon Wireless customers from originating, maintaining or receiving reliable calls and network access. It is our expert opinion that the proposed height will satisfy the coverage and capacity needs of Verizon Wireless and its subscribers in this portion of the **Town of Wappinger** and the **Kent Road** and **Spook Hill Park** project areas. The proposed locations depicted herein satisfy the identified service gaps and are proposed at the minimum heights necessary for adequate service.

Wasif Sharif

Wasif Sharif Engineer III – RF Design Verizon Wireless



Site Selection Analysis Kent Road Micro Wappingers, New York April10, 2023



VERIZON WIRELESS OF THE EAST LP, d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS

Kent Road Micro

Adjacent to 2 Baldwin Drive Town of Wappinger, NY

REAL ESTATE SITE SELECTION REPORT APRIL 2023

Site Selection Analysis Kent Road Micro Wappingers, New York April10, 2023

SITE SELECTION REPORT

Verizon Wireless proposes to install and operate a new wireless telecommunications micro cell facility located in the Town of Wappinger ROW at the intersection of Kent Road and Baldwin Drive. Verizon Wireless proposes to install a new 50' wood utility pole (43' above ground). All proposed telecommunications equipment will be attached to the pole.

1. <u>The Search Area</u>

The need for a new Verizon Wireless site in the Town of Wappinger is based on a comprehensive analysis prepared separately by Verizon Wireless' in-house Radio Frequency ("RF) Design Engineer. As part of that RF analysis, the Verizon Wireless RF Design Engineer developed a search area for the proposed new site. The search area is the geographical area within which a new wireless telecommunications facility is most likely to provide the required coverage and/or capacity relief. One of the purposes of the search area is to assist the site acquisition firm to focus its efforts on the particular area within which a new facility can be located to remedy the specific RF concern identified by the RF Design Engineer.

The search area for the Kent Road Micro site ("Search Area") is depicted in **Figure 1**, attached hereto.

(a) Geography & Topography

The Kent Road Micro area is characterized as being a flat area along Kent Road and the neighboring streets.

(b) Land Use

The majority of the search area covers portions Kent Road, Fenmore Drive, Cady Lane, Pippin Lane, and Baldwin Drive. We evaluated the existing structures within the search ring (utility poles). There were no existing rooftops within the ring for potential collocation.

(c) Description of Figures

The following figure is provided to illustrate the different characteristics which exist within the Search Area relative to the identification of a location for a new wireless communications Micro cell. The only existing structures are CHG&E utility poles which, as discussed below, have been ruled out for potential collocation.

Figure 1 - Search Area with candidates.

2. Zoning Considerations

(a) Collocation

Verizon Wireless routinely seeks to install its antennas and equipment on an existing wireless telecommunications towers or other tall structures ("collocation"), whenever feasible. Local communities universally favor collocation because they can minimize the number of wireless telecommunications towers in an area and many municipalities even provide for a streamlined application review process. Collocation is often listed as the highest siting priority in a local municipality's Zoning Law. In addition to the streamlined zoning application process, collocation is preferred by wireless providers because it is generally a less expensive and more efficient option, compared to construction of a new tower facility. Although section 240-81 (D)(1), gives preference to new facilities that involve collocation on an existing tower or tall structure, as noted below, the existing utility pole structures currently located within the right of way do not meet the Verizon Wireless antenna height requirements based on CHG&E attachment standards.

3. Kent Road Micro Search Area

After a comprehensive investigation of the Search Area, no existing towers were identified which are suitable for collocation. There are five (5) existing Central Hudson Gas and Electric ("CHG&E") utility poles that were considered for collocation. However, all were ruled out due to CHG&E attachment standards and the needed antenna centerline height. CHG&E attachment standards state; "pole top mounted antennas are not permitted on a pole with primary voltage, no exceptions". Because of this, Verizon is forced to mount the antenna on the side of the pole in between secondary power and communication lines. Example: a 40' utility pole with primary power would allow Verizon to mount the antenna at a height of 25' - 27'.

A summary of each candidate is detailed below and shown on attached Figure 1.

(A) Owner: CHG&E Pole 49310 – Adj to 56 Fenmore Drive

Coordinates: 41.592647° -73.878660° Pole Height - ~ 30' – 35' AMSL- 220' Candidate A is an existing CHG&E utility pole located in the ROW on Fenmore Drive. This has been ruled out based on CHG&E attachment standards and the desired antenna height. Verizon would not be able to mount the antenna heigh enough on the pole to meet the minimum antenna height requirement for this project (45').

(B) Owner: CHG&E Pole 61166 – Adj to 56 Kent Road

Coordinates: 41.591924° -73.877805° Pole Height - ~ 35' AMSL- 215' Candidate B is an existing CHG&E utility pole located in the ROW on Kent Road. This has been ruled out based on CHG&E attachment standards and the desired antenna height. Verizon would not be able to mount the antenna heigh enough on the pole to meet the minimum antenna height requirement for this project (45').

(C) Owner: CHG&E Pole 69030 – Adj to 18 Cady Lane

Coordinates: 41.588493° -73.894271° Pole Height- ~ 30'-35' AMSL- 222'

Candidate C is an existing CHG&E utility pole located in the ROW on Cady Lane. This has been ruled out based on CHG&E attachment standards and the desired antenna height. Verizon would not be able to mount the antenna heigh enough on the pole to meet the minimum antenna height requirement for this project (45').

(D) Owner: CHG&E Pole 138558 – Adj to 72 Kent Road

Coordinates: 41.592539° -73.875568° Pole Height - ~ 30' AMSL- 222'

Candidate D is an existing CHG&E utility pole located in the ROW on Kent Road. This has been ruled out based on CHG&E attachment standards and the desired antenna height. Verizon would not be able to mount the antenna heigh enough on the pole to meet the minimum antenna height requirement for this project (45').

(E) Owner: CHG&E Pole – No Tag – 3 Pippin Lane

Coordinates: 41.593555° -73.873932° Pole Height - ~ 30' AMSL- 230'

Candidate E is a proposed Verizon Wireless owned utility pole located in the town ROW off of Pippin Lane. This has been ruled out based on CHG&E attachment standards and the desired antenna height. Verizon would not be able to mount the antenna heigh enough on the pole to meet the minimum antenna height requirement for this project (45').

(F) Owner: VZW Pole – Adj 2 Baldwin Drive

Coordinates: 41.593144° -73.873234° Pole Height - 43' / 46.9' with antenna AMSL- 235'

Candidate E is a proposed Verizon Wireless owned utility pole located in the town ROW at the intersection of Kent Road and Baldwin Drive. This proposed pole will be 43' tall (top of the antenna at 46.9') with all telecommunications equipment attached to the pole. No equipment will be placed on the ground. The location of this pole is shown as candidate "e" in **Figure 1** below. The existing poles along Spook Hill Road are estimated to be 40'- 45' tall.

Site Selection Analysis Kent Road Micro Wappingers, New York April10, 2023

4. SUMMARY

Based on the foregoing, four potential poles were taken into consideration for collocation options. Due to CHG&E attachment standards for utility poles with primary lines, all four had to be ruled out. The alternative solution (candidate E) is a Verizon Wireless owned utility pole installed in the Spook Hill Road ROW.

Prepared by:

Nathan Keenan Tectonic Engineer, Project Manager Consultant to Verizon Wireless

Site Selection Analysis Kent Road Micro Wappingers, New York April10, 2023

FIGURE 1

Verizon Wireless

Kent Road Micro Search Area of w/ Candidates







KENT ROAD 2 BALDWIN RD WAPPINGERS FALLS, NEW YORK





Tectonic

Looking North from 3 Baldwin Drive. The proposed utility pole will be visible from this location. Distance from the photographic location to the proposed site is 180'±







Looking North from 3 Baldwin Drive. The proposed utility pole will be visible from this location. Distance from the photographic location to the proposed site is 180'±







Looking Southeast from the intersection of Pippin Lane & Kent Road. The proposed utility pole will be visible from this location.



Distance from the photographic location to the proposed site is $210'\pm$





Looking Southeast from the intersection of Pippin Lane & Kent Road. The proposed utility pole will be visible from this location. Distance from the photographic location to the proposed site is 210'± **S-2**





Looking South from 90 Kent Road. The proposed utility pole will be visible from this location. Distance from the photographic location to the proposed site is 340'±







Looking South from 90 Kent Road. The proposed utility pole will be visible from this location. Distance from the photographic location to the proposed site is 340'±



Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:

Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems LLC, d/b/a Verizon Wireless - Unmanned Wireless Facility - "Kent Road"

Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):

2 Baldwin Drive, Town of Wappiner, Dutchess County, NY 12590

Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):

Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems LLC d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless" or "Applicant") proposes the installation of an unmanned wireless communications facility on a proposed wood pole on Kent Road. The proposed subject pole is located in the southwest corner at the intersection of Baldwin Drive and Kent Road.

In general, the installation will consist of the following: One (1) antenna to be mounted at a centerline height of 45.5' above grade on a proposed 43' tall wood pole. All accessory equipment, cabling and utility services (power and fiber) are to be mounted to the wood pole.

Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: (585) 321-5435	
Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems LLC, d/b/a Verizon Wireless; attn: Kathy Pomponio	E-Mail: kathy.pomponio@verizonwireless.com	
Addrcss: 1275 John Street, Suite 100		
City/PO: West Henrietta	State: NY	Zip Code: 14586
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone: (518) 438-9907	
Young Sommer LLC; attn: Scott Olson, esq.	E-Mail: solson@youngsommer.com	
Address:		
5 Palisades Drive		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Albany	NY	12205
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone: (845) 297-4158	
Town of Wappinger	E-Mail:	
Address:	•	
20 Middlebush Rd		
City/PO: Wappingers Falls	State: NY	Zip Code: 12590

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, F assistance.)	unding, or Spor	sorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, ta	ax relief, and any other forms of financial
Government Ent	iity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)
a. City Council, Town Board, or Village Board of Trustees	∐Yes ⊠ No S		
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commiss	☑Yes□No sion	Planning Board: Special Permit & Site Plan Approval	твр
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Ap	□Yes □ No peals		
d. Other local agencies	∠ Yes N o	Building Department: Work Permit	ТВD
e. County agencies	□ Yes ☑ No		
f. Regional agencies	□Yes Z No		
g. State agencies	□Yes ☑ No		
h. Federal agencies	∐Yes ∑ No		
i. Coastal Resources. <i>i</i> . Is the project site within	a Coastal Area, o	r the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	Vaterway? □Yes ☑No
<i>ii.</i> Is the project site located <i>iii.</i> Is the project site within a	in a community Coastal Erosion	with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Hazard Area?	tion Program? □ Yes☑No □ Yes☑No

C. Planning and Zoning

C 1 Planning and zoning actions	
 Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1 	☐Yes ⊠ No
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?	□Yes √ No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located?	□Yes□No
 b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s): 	∐Yes ⊠ No
 c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? If Yes, identify the plan(s): 	□Yes ☑ No

C.3. Zoning	
 a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? R-20 	☑ Yes □ No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	☑ Yes□No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?If Yes,<i>i</i>. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	☐ Yes Ø No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? <u>Wappingers Central School District</u>	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? <u>New York State Police, Dutchess County Sheriff</u>	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? New Hackensack Fire Department	
d. What parks serve the project site? <u>N/A</u>	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)? Unmanned wireless telecommunications facility	l, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? 0.001 acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? 0.001 acres	
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 0.001 acres	
 c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? <i>i.</i> If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % 	☐ Yes ☑ No housing units,
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	□Yes ☑ No
If Yes, <i>i</i> . Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
<i>ii.</i> Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? <i>iii.</i> Number of lots proposed?	□Yes □No
<i>iv.</i> Will the menored action be constructed in multiple phases?	
<i>i.</i> If No, anticipated period of construction: 2 months	
<i>ii.</i> If Yes:	
Total number of phases anticipated	
Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year	
 Anticipated completion date of final phase monthyear Conservative describe compactions or relationships among phases including any continuous is a straight date of the service o	a of one phase me
 Generary describe connections of relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progred determine timing or duration of future phases:	ss of one phase may

f. Does the proje	ct include new resid	ential uses?			☐ Yes Z No
If Yes, show nur	nbers of units propo	sed.			
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
of all phases					
g. Does the prop	osed action include	new non-residenti	al construction (inclu	iding expansions)?	∠ Yes □ No
<i>i</i> . Total number	r of structures 1(wo	od pole)			
<i>ii</i> . Dimensions	(in feet) of largest p	roposed structure:	43' height;	1.5' width; and length	
iii. Approximate	extent of building	space to be heated	or cooled:	0 square feet	
h. Does the prop	osed action include	construction or oth	ner activities that wil	l result in the impoundment of any	☐ Yes Z No
liquids, such a	s creation of a wate	r supply, reservoir	, pond, lake, waste la	agoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	a impoundment.				
<i>ii.</i> If a water im	oundment, the prin	cipal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water stream	ms Other specify:
	го от станование и с				
<i>iii</i> . If other than	water, identify the ty	/pe of impounded/	contained liquids and	d their source.	
<i>iv.</i> Approximate	size of the propose	d impoundment.	Volume:	million gallons: surface area:	acres
v. Dimensions of	of the proposed dam	or impounding st	ructure:	height; length	
vi. Construction	method/materials f	for the proposed da	am or impounding str	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, cond	crete):
D.2. Project Or	oerations				
a. Does the prop	osed action include	any excavation, m	ining, or dredging, d	uring construction, operations, or both?	VesN o
(Not including	general site prepara	ation, grading or ir	stallation of utilities	or foundations where all excavated	
materials will	remain onsite)				
If Yes:	6.1				
<i>i</i> . What is the p	urpose of the excava	ation or dredging?	te etc.) is proposed t	a he removed from the site?	
• Volume	(specify tons or cul	bic vards):	is, etc.) is proposed t	o be removed nom the site.	
Over with the second seco	hat duration of time	?			
iii. Describe natu	re and characteristic	cs of materials to b	be excavated or dredg	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	e of them.
$iv. \overline{Will there be}$	e onsite dewatering	or processing of ex	cavated materials?		Yes No
If yes, descr	ibe	1 0			
v. What is the to	otal area to be dredg	ed or excavated?	time of	acres	
<i>vi.</i> What is the n	haximum area to be	worked at any one	e time?	fact	
<i>vii.</i> Will the exc	avation require blas	ting?	or dredging:	Ieet	□Ves□No
<i>ix</i> . Summarize si	te reclamation goals	and plan:			
		·			
b. Would the pro	posed action cause	or result in alterati	on of, increase or de	crease in size of, or encroachment	∐Yes √ No
If Yes:	ing wenanu, watero	ouy, shorenne, bea	ion of aujacent area?		
<i>i</i> . Identify the v	vetland or waterbod	y which would be	affected (by name, v	vater index number, wetland map numb	er or geographic
description):				-	_

<i>ii.</i> Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placem alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in sq	ent of structures, or uare feet or acres:
<i>iii.</i> Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	□Yes □No
<i>iv.</i> Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes:	
 acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: evpected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion; 	
 purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): 	
FF FF	
proposed method of plant removal:	
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c. will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	Y es VINO
<i>i</i> . Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/day	
<i>ii.</i> Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	□ Yes □No
If Yes:	
Name of district or service area:	
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? 	☐ Yes ☐ No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	□ Yes□ No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	□ Yes□ No
• Do existing lines serve the project site?	□ Yes□ No
<i>iii.</i> Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?	\Box Yes \Box No
 Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
• Source(a) of supply for the district	
• Source(s) of supply for the district.	
If, Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project.	
<i>vi</i> . If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	☐ Yes ☑ No
If Yes:	
<i>i.</i> Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day	Il common on to and
<i>ii.</i> Nature of figure solutions of each):	in components and
<i>iii.</i> Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?	□ Yes □No
IT Yes:	
Invarie of wastewater treatment plant to be used:	
 Name of district: Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have canacity to some the project? 	
 Is the project site in the existing district? 	$\Box Yes \Box No$
• Is expansion of the district needed?	\square Yes \square No

• Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	□Yes□No
• Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
<i>iv.</i> Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	∐Yes∐No
If Yes:	
• Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
• what is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	fying proposed
<i>v.</i> If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater readment for the project, including speci- receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface discosal plans).	irying proposed
receiving water (name and elassification in surface disentinge of deserve substitute disposal plans).	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	∏Yes √ No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
<i>u</i> . Describe types of new point sources.	
<i>iii</i> Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures_adjacent m	roperties
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	opernes,
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
• Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	
<i>iv</i> Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	\Box Yes \Box No
f. Does the proposed path minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials of concertain re-use stoffwater.	
combustion waste incineration or other processes or operations?	
If Yes identify	
<i>i</i> . Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
N/A	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
Construction equipment	
<i>iii.</i> Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
N/A	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes 2 No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	
<i>ii</i> In addition to emissions as calculated in the annlication, the project will generate:	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Vitrous Oxide (0.02)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₂)	
• Tons/vear (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/vear (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

 h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes: 	∐Yes ∏ No
 <i>i.</i> Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):	enerate heat or
 i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): 	∐Yes Z No
 j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: <i>i</i>. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): Morning Evening Weekend Randomly between hours of to <i>ii</i>. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump truck) 	☐Yes / No s):
 <i>iii.</i> Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease <i>iv.</i> Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? <i>v.</i> If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing 	Yes No access, describe:
 <i>vi.</i> Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? <i>vii</i> Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? <i>viii</i>. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? 	□Yes□No □Yes□No □Yes□No
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? If Yes: <i>i</i>. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: <u>Minimal increase in electrical power usage as necessary to operate the facility</u> <i>ii</i>. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/l other): <u>Local utilty</u> 	Yes∏No ocal utility, or
<i>iii.</i> Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?	∐Yes ∐ No
i. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. ii. During Operations: i. During Construction: ii. During Operations: • Monday - Friday: 8am-5pm • Saturday: 0 • Sunday: 24 hours • Holidays: 24 hours • Holidays: 24 hours	

 m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? If yes: 	☑ Yes □No
<i>During construction, noise associated with the operation of construction equipment</i>	
<i>ii</i> . Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe:	☐ Yes Ø No
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? If ves:	☐ Yes Z No
<i>i</i> . Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
<i>ii.</i> Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe:	□ Yes □No
 Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: 	Yes No
 p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? If Yes: i. Product(s) to be stored 	
<i>ii.</i> Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year) <i>iii.</i> Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
 q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? If Yes: <i>i</i>. Describe proposed treatment(s): 	☐ Yes ☑No
<i>ii.</i> Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	☐ Yes ☐No
 r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? If Yes: <i>i</i> Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility. 	🔲 Yes 🛛 No
Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
Operation : tons per (unit of time)	
 <i>ii.</i> Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste Construction:	:
Operation:	
 <i>iii.</i> Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: Construction: 	
Operation:	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modilifyes:	ification of a solid waste manage	gement facility?	📙 Yes 🖌 No
<i>i</i> . Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities):			
<i>ii.</i> Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:			
Tons/month, if transfer or other non Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal	combustion/thermal treatment,	or	
<i>iii.</i> If landfill, anticipated site life:	years		
t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the comme	rcial generation, treatment, sto	rage, or disposal of hazard	ous 🗌 Yes 🖌 No
waste?			
If Yes: <i>i</i> Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	e generated, handled or manage	ed at facility:	
	generated, numered of manage		
<i>ii</i> Generally describe processes or activities involving l	nazardous wastes or constituen	ts.	
	lazardous wastes of constituen		
iii Specify amount to be handled or generated to	ons/month		
<i>iv.</i> Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	cycling or reuse of hazardous co	onstituents:	
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing	g offsite hazardous waste facili	ty?	☐Yes ☐No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:		-	
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous	wastes which will not be sent t	o a hazardous waste facilit	y:
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action			
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			
a. Existing land uses.	• . •		
\square Urban \square Industrial \square Commercial \square Resid	project site. lential (suburban)	(non-farm)	
Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other	r (specify):		
<i>ii.</i> If mix of uses, generally describe:			
<u>There is no substantial change in land use or covertype as part</u> within the ROW, adjacent to existing roadway.	of this application. Verizon Wireles	ss proposes to install (1) 43' ta	ll wooden utility pole
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land use or	Current	Acreage After	Change
Covertype	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious			
Forested			
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-			
agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)			< 0.001
Agricultural (includes active probards, field, groophouse stall)			
Surface water features			
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)			
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)			
• Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)			
Other Describe:			

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? <i>i</i> . If Yes: explain:	□Yes☑No
 d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, <i>i</i>. Identify Facilities: 	∐Yes Z No
 e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes: <i>i</i>. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment: Dam height: Dam length: feet 	☐ Yes Z No
Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
<i>ii.</i> Dam's existing hazard classification:	
<i>iii.</i> Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility for the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility.	□Yes √ No lity?
<i>i</i> . Has the facility been formally closed?	□Yes□ No
• If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
<i>ii.</i> Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
<i>iii.</i> Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	∐Yes √ No
<i>i</i> . Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr	ed:
 h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: 	☐Yes 🖌 No
<i>i</i> . Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
ii If site has been subject of PCPA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
<i>iii.</i> Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	□Yes□No
<i>iv.</i> If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	

<i>v</i> . Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?		☐ Yes□No
 If yes, DEC site ID number:		
Describe any use limitations:		
 Describe any engineering controls: Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? 		☐ Yes ☐ No
• Explain:		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	<u>6</u> feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?		☐ Yes Z No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: DwB	100 %	
	%	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average:	et	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: <u>100</u> % of site		
☐ Moderately Well Drained:% of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: ∇ 0-10%:	100 % of site	
	% of site	
□ 15% or greater:	% of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe:		
h. Surface water features.		
<i>i</i> . Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including str ponds or lakes)?	eams, rivers,	Y es V No
<i>ii.</i> Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?		□Yes √ No
If Y is to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.1. <i>iii</i> Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by	any federal	□Yes□No
state or local agency?		
 <i>iv.</i> For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the fol Streams: Name 	owing information: Classification	
Lakes or Ponds: Name	Classification	
 Wetlands: Name	Approximate Size	
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of		
with the disord water bounds instead in the most recent compliation of 1415 water qu	ality-impaired	☐Yes ☐No
waterbodies? If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:	uality-impaired	∐Yes ∐No
waterbodies? If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:	nality-impaired	□Yes □No
 i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? 	ality-impaired	□Yes □No □Yes ☑No
 i. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? 	ality-impaired	□Yes □No □Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No
 i. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? 	iality-impaired	□Yes □No □Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No
 i. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? 1. Is the project site in the solo-year Floodplain? 	rce aquifer?	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☑No ☐Yes ☑No ☐Yes ☑No ☐Yes ☑No
 i. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? 1. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? i. Is the project site in the source of the source	rce aquifer?	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☑No ☐Yes ☑No ☐Yes ☑No ☐Yes ☑No

m Identify the predominant wildlife analies	that accurate or use the project site:				
Rabbite	Squirrele	Skunks			
		Fores			
		Foxes			
Birds	Raccoons				
n. Does the project site contain a designated	significant natural community?		\square Yes \blacksquare No		
If Yes:					
<i>i</i> . Describe the habitat/community (compos	ition, function, and basis for designation):				
<i>ii</i> . Source(s) of description or evaluation:					
iii. Extent of community/habitat:					
• Currently:	ac	cres			
 Following completion of project as 	proposed:ac	eres			
• Gain or loss (indicate + or -):	ac	res			
o. Does project site contain any species of pl	ant or animal that is listed by the federal g	overnment or NYS as			
endangered or threatened, or does it contain	n any areas identified as habitat for an enc	langered or threatened speci	es?		
If Yes:					
<i>i.</i> Species and listing (endangered or threatened	d):				
Indiana Bat					
p. Does the project site contain any species of	of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as	rare, or as a species of	☐ Yes 7 No		
special concern?		, ,			
If Vest					
<i>i</i> Species and listing					
. speeres and noting					
		11 (2 1 2			
q. Is the project site or adjoining area current	ly used for hunting, trapping, fishing or sh	nell fishing?	∐Yes √ No		
If yes, give a brief description of how the pro	posed action may affect that use:				
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or N	lear Project Site				
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, loca	ted in a designated agricultural district cer	rtified pursuant to	∐Yes √ No		
Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?					
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:					
h An conjuctional lands consisting of highly					
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly	productive soils present?		I Y es VINO		
<i>i</i> . If i es: acreage(s) on project site?					
<i>u</i> . Source(s) of soil rating(s):					
c. Does the project site contain all or part of	or is it substantially contiguous to, a regi	stered National	☐Yes √ No		
Natural Landmark?					
If Yes:					
<i>i</i> . Nature of the natural landmark:	Biological Community	gical Feature			
<i>ii</i> . Provide brief description of landmark, ir	cluding values behind designation and ap	proximate size/extent:			
· · ·					
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjo	in a state listed Critical Environmental Ar	rea?	∐Yes ∑ No		
If Yes:					
<i>i</i> . CEA name:					
<i>ii</i> . Basis for designation:					
<i>iii</i> . Designating agency and date:					

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissio Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Pla If Yes:	Yes Vo Yes Ve Yes Vo Yes Vo Ye
<i>i.</i> Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District <i>ii.</i> Name:	
<i>iii.</i> Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	∐Yes ⊠ No
 g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: <i>i</i>. Describe possible resource(s): <i>ii</i>. Basis for identification: 	Yes V No
 h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes: <i>i</i>. Identify resource: 	∐Yes ⊘ No
<i>ii.</i> Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.):	scenic byway,
<i>iii.</i> Distance between project and resource: miles.	
 Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Vest 	☐ Yes 7 No
<i>i</i> . Identify the name of the river and its designation:	
<i>ii</i> . Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	☐ Yes ☐No

F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name Steven Matthews, agent on behalf of applicant Date 4/14/2023

Signature Steven Matthews

Title Director of Engineering



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	No
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	No
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	Yes
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Name]	Indiana Bat

E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	No
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

617.20 Appendix B State Environmental Quality Review VISUAL EAF ADDENDUM

This form may be used to provide additional information relating to Question 11 of Part 2 of the Full EAF.							
	(To be completed by Lead Agency)						
Visibility			Distance Between Project and Resource (in Miles)				
1.	Would t	he project be visible from:	0 - 1/4	1⁄4 - 1⁄2	1/2 - 3	3-5	5+
	!	A parcel of land which is dedicated to and available to the public for the use, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities?					
	!	An overlook or parcel of land dedicated to public observation, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities?					
	I	A site or structure listed on the National or State Registers of Historic Places?					
	!	State Parks?					
	!	The State Forest Preserve?					
	!	National Wildlife Refuges and State Game Refuges?					
	!	National Natural Landmarks and other outstanding natural features?					
	!	National Park Service lands?					
	I	Rivers designated as National or State Wild, Scenic or Recreational?					
	!	Any transportation corridor of high exposure, such as part of the Interstate System, or Amtrak?					
	!	A governmentally established or designated interstate or inter-county foot trail, or one formally proposed for establishment or designation?					
	!	A site, area, lake, reservoir or highway designated as scenic?					
	!	Municipal park, or designated open space?					
	!	County road?					
	!	State road?					
	!	Local road? Baldwin Dr, Kent Rd, Pippin Ln, Robin Ln, Applesauce Ln	\checkmark				
2. Is the visibility of the project seasonal? (i.e., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)							
☐Yes ✓No							
3. Are any of the resources checked in question 1 used by the public during the time of year during which the project will be visible?							
		✓Yes No					

DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING VISUAL ENVIRONMENT								
4. From each item checked in question 1, check those which generally describe the surrounding environment.								
				Withi	n *1 milo			
Essentially undeveloped								
Forested								
Agricultural								
Suburban Residential				\checkmark				
Industrial								
Commerical								
Urban								
River, Lake, Pond								
Cliffs, Overlooks								
Designated Open Space								
Flat								
Hilly				\checkmark				
Mountainous								
Other NOTE: add attachments as needed								
5. Are there visually similar projects within:								
*½ mileYes 🚺 No 1 mile [Yes	✔ No 2 miles	Yes 🖌 No	3 miles 🗌 Yes	√ No			
*Distance from project site is provided for assistance. Substitute other distances as appropriate.								
EXPOSURE 6. The annual number of viewers likely to observe the proposed project is 1.5 M NOTE: When user data is unavailable or unknown, use best estimate.								
CONTEXT 7. The situation or activity in which the viewer	rs are eng	aged while viewin	g the proposed ac	tion is:				
		FREQU	JENCY					
Activity Travel to and from work Involved in recreational activities Routine travel by residents At a residence At worksite Other	Daily ● ● ● ○	Weekly O O O O O	Holidays/ Weekends O O O O O	Seasonally O O O O O O				
					Reset			



Verizon Wireless Site Compliance Report

Site Name: 16966964_729796 - Kent Road Site Address: 2 Baldwin Drive, Town of Wappingers, NY 12590 Structure Type: Utility Pole

Report generated on: March 8, 2023 Report by: Kirsten Portilla Customer Contact: Wasif Sharif

Verizon Wireless will be compliant with the FCC Rules and Regulations in all publicly accessible areas.



Site Safe, LLC 8618 Westwood Center Drive, Suite 315, Vienna, VA 22182 703.276.1100 • 703.276.1169 fax info@sitesafe.com • www.sitesafe.com



Table of Contents

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2	ANALYSIS	4
3	ANTENNA INVENTORY	6
4	ENGINEER CERTIFICATION	7
AP	PENDIX A – TECHNICAL FRAMEWORK: FCC RULES AND REGULATIONS	8
AP	PENDIX B – DEFINITIONS	10
AP	PENDIX C – STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS	11
AP	PENDIX D – ADDITIONAL RESOURCES	12


1 Executive Summary

Verizon Wireless has contracted with Site Safe, LLC (Sitesafe), an independent radiofrequency (RF) regulatory and engineering consulting firm, to determine if the proposed telecommunications facility is in compliance with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Rules and Regulations for RF emissions (see Appendix A of this report for further explanation of the FCC Rules and Regulations). This document and the conclusions herein are based on the information provided by representatives of Verizon Wireless which is assumed to be true and correct.

Verizon Wireless is proposing to add antenna on top of proposed utility pole.

The analysis evaluates the telecommunications facility with respect to the General Public maximum permissible exposure (MPE) limits ("General Public" is also referred to as "Uncontrolled Environment"; see Appendix A for further explanation of this classification). Sitesafe has taken into consideration the existing/proposed Verizon Wireless antenna system as well as any other collocated antenna systems at the subject location.

Based on the analysis, Sitesafe has determined that:

Verizon Wireless will comply in all publicly accessible areas with the FCC Rules and Regulations governing human exposure to RF electromagnetic fields as described in 47 CFR § 1.1307(b) and 1.1310 in accordance with the methods for evaluating compliance contained in OET Bulletin 65. Additionally, Verizon Wireless will implement all required mitigation for its antennas including signage, barriers, restricted access, etc. where applicable to ensure compliance on the utility pole.

Furthermore, with the proposed Verizon Wireless antenna configuration in service, the composite exposure from this facility in all areas at ground level will be below 1% of the General Public MPE limit, or over 100 times less than the maximum allowed exposure in publicly accessible areas.



2 Analysis

In this analysis, Sitesafe has taken into consideration the existing/proposed Verizon Wireless antenna system as well as any other collocated antenna systems at the subject location. All existing and proposed licensees are listed in the antenna inventory table in Section 3 of this report. If specific antenna and operating parameter information for the other collocated licensees was not provided, typical assumptions were made based on Sitesafe experience and/or any available information.

Using this data, software modeling was performed for all transmitting antennas located at the site. Sitesafe has assumed a 100% duty cycle and maximum radiated power. The site has been modeled with these assumptions to determine the maximum potential RF energy density. Sitesafe believes this to be a worst-case analysis based on the best available data.

The power density calculations performed by the software tool use FCC prescribed methodologies as contained in OET Bulletin 65, which was compiled by the FCC to provide assistance in evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to electromagnetic fields.

As stated in Section 1, based on this analysis, the calculated ground level exposure from the Verizon Wireless antenna system alone as well as the composite exposure from all existing/proposed licensees will be below 1% of the General Public MPE limit.

Keep in mind that the FCC did not arbitrarily establish their own standards but rather adopted the recommendations of national and international organizations such as the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). These recommendations were developed by expert scientists and engineers following extensive evaluation of the potential biological effects from RF exposure. The FCC MPE limits are based on thresholds for known adverse effects, and they were designed to provide a substantial margin of safety. There is a safety factor of 50 built into the General Public MPE limits, and the predicted Verizon Wireless exposure levels are over 100 times below these very conservative limits.

In cases where such compliance exists, the subject of electromagnetic field safety is preempted by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which states: "No state or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the (Federal Communication) Commission's regulations concerning such emissions."



Lastly, the graph below provides a visual depiction of the rather insignificant electromagnetic field exposure contribution from the Verizon Wireless antenna system at any distance from the base of the structure. This portrays how low the Verizon Wireless contribution is when compared to the General Public MPE limit.





3 Antenna Inventory

The following antenna inventory contains data provided by the customer and/or gathered by Sitesafe personnel which was used to perform the analysis:

Ant #	Operator	Antenna Make/Model	TX Freq (MHz)	Tech.	Az (Deg)	ERP (Watts)	AGL (ft)	MDT	EDT
1	Verizon Wireless (Proposed)	Commscope NNV4SSP-360S-F2	1900	LTE	0	611.11	45.5'	0	0
1	Verizon Wireless (Proposed)	Commscope NNV4SSP-360S-F2	700	LTE	0	287.82	45.5'	0	0
1	Verizon Wireless (Proposed)	Commscope NNV4SSP-360S-F2	850	LTE	0	291.82	45.5'	0	0
1	Verizon Wireless (Proposed)	Commscope NNV4SSP-360S-F2	2100	LTE/AWS1	0	626.79	45.5'	0	0
1	Verizon Wireless (Proposed)	Commscope NNV4SSP-360S-F2	3500	LTE/CBRS	0	50.82	45.5'	0	0

Notes: Each row with the same number in the Ant # column references the same physical antenna. Proposed equipment is tagged as (Proposed) under Operator or Antenna Make and Model. Power values provided by the client and used in the analysis may be greater than what is initially deployed. For additional modeling information, refer to Appendix B of this report.

Note: Antenna has a fixed 2 degree tilt for low-band and mid-band frequencies and a fixed 0 degree tilt for CBRS.



4 Engineer Certification

The Professional engineer whose seal appears on the cover of this document herby certifies and affirms:

That I am registered as a Professional Engineer in the jurisdiction indicated in the professional engineering stamp on the cover of this document; and

That I am providing professional engineering services on behalf of QualTek Engineering, P.C., and am an employee of QualTek Wireless, LLC, sister company to Site Safe, LLC (both under the parent company QualTek); and

That I am thoroughly familiar with the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) as well as the regulations of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), both in general and specially as they apply to the FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields; and

That I have thoroughly reviewed this Site Compliance Report and believe it to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge as assembled by and attested to by Kirsten Portilla.

March 8, 2023



Appendix A – Technical Framework: FCC Rules and Regulations

In 1996, the FCC adopted regulations for evaluating of the effects of RF emissions in 47 CFR § 1.1307(b) and 1.1310. The guideline from the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology is Bulletin 65 (OET Bulletin 65), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, Edition 97-01, published August 1997. Since 1996, the FCC periodically reviews these rules and regulations as per its congressional mandate.

FCC regulations define two separate tiers of exposure limits: Occupational or "Controlled Environment" and General Public or "Uncontrolled Environment". The General Public limits are generally five times more conservative or restrictive than the Occupational limits.

General Public or Uncontrolled limits apply to accessible areas where workers or the general public may be exposed to RF electromagnetic fields.

Occupational or Controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and where those persons exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. An area is considered a Controlled environment when access is limited to these aware personnel. Typical criteria are restricted access (e.g. locked or alarmed doors, barriers, etc.) to the areas where antennas are located coupled with proper RF warning signage.

A site with Controlled environments is evaluated with Occupational limits. All other areas are considered Uncontrolled environments. If a site has no access controls or no RF warning signage, it is evaluated with General Public limits.

The theoretical modeling of the RF electromagnetic fields has been performed in accordance with OET Bulletin 65. The MPE limits utilized in this analysis are outlined in the following diagram and table:



FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (MPE)

		· · · , · · ·		
Frequency	Electric	Magnetic	Power	Averaging Time
Range	Field	Field	Density (S)	E ² , H ² or S
(MHz)	Strength	Strength	(mW/cm ²)	(minutes)
	(E) (V/m)	(H) (A/m)		
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	(900/f ²)*	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500			f/300	6
1500-			5	6
100,000				

Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (MPE)

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength	Power Density (S) (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time E ² , H ² or S (minutes)
0.3-1.34 1.34-30 30-300 300-1500 1500-	614 824/f 27.5 	1.63 2.19/f 0.073 	(100)* (180/f ²)* 0.2 f/1500 1.0	30 30 30 30 30 30
100,000				

f = frequency in MHz *Plane-wave equivalent power density



Appendix B – Definitions

Compliance – The determination of whether a site complies with FCC standards with regards to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields from transmitting antennas.

Decibel (dB) – A unit for measuring power or strength of a signal.

Duty Cycle – The percent of pulse duration to the pulse period of a periodic pulse train. Also, may be a measure of the temporal transmission characteristic of an intermittently transmitting RF source. A duty cycle of 100% corresponds to continuous operation.

Effective (or Equivalent) Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP) – The product of the power supplied to the antenna and the antenna gain in a given direction relative to an isotropic antenna.

Effective Radiated Power (ERP) – The product of the power supplied to the antenna and the antenna gain in a given direction relative to a half-wave dipole antenna.

Gain (of an antenna) – The ratio, usually expressed in decibels, of the power required at the input of a loss-free reference antenna to the power supplied to the input of the given antenna to produce, in a given direction, the same field strength or the same power density at the same distance. When not specified otherwise, the gain refers to the direction of maximum radiation. Gain may be considered for a specified polarization. Gain may be referenced to an isotropic antenna (dBi) or a half-wave dipole (dBd) antenna.

Generic Antenna – For the purposes of this report, the use of "Generic" as an antenna model means the antenna information was not provided. In the event of unknown information, Sitesafe will use its industry specific knowledge of antenna models to select a worst-case scenario antenna to model the site.

Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) – The rms and peak electric and magnetic field strength, their squares, or the plane-wave equivalent power densities associated with these fields to which a person may be exposed without harmful effect and with acceptable safety factor.

OET Bulletin 65 – Technical guideline developed by the FCC's Office of Engineering and Technology to determine the impact of RF exposure on humans. The guideline was published in August 1997.

Radio Frequency Exposure or Electromagnetic Fields – Electromagnetic waves that are propagated from antennas through space.



Appendix C – Statement of Limiting Conditions

Sitesafe will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect the site or property.

Due to the complexity of some wireless sites, Sitesafe performed this analysis and created this report utilizing best industry practices and due diligence. Sitesafe cannot be held accountable or responsible for anomalies or discrepancies due to actual site conditions or information or data supplied by Verizon Wireless, the site manager, or their affiliates, subcontractors or assigns.

Sitesafe obtained information used in this Site Compliance Report from sources that Sitesafe considers reliable and believes them to be true and correct. Sitesafe does not assume any responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties. When conflicts in information occur between data provided by a second party and physical data collected by Sitesafe, the physical data will be used.



Appendix D – Additional Resources

Additional RF information is available at the following sites: https://www.fcc.gov/general/radio-frequency-safety-0 https://www.fcc.gov/engineering-technology/electromagneticcompatibility-division/radio-frequency-safety/faq/rf-safety



Date: April 14, 2023

Utility Pole Analysis Report

Carrier:		Verizon Wireless
Carrier Desig	nation: Project #: Location Code: Site Name:	20222384943 729796 Kent Road
	Site Data:	Adjacent to 2 Baldwin Drive, Wappingers Falls, NY 12590 Latitude 41.593144°, Longitude -73.873233° Proposed 43.0 ft Tall Wood Pole

Tectonic Project Number: 11861.001

Tectonic Engineering Consultants, Geologists & Land Surveyors, D.P.C. is pleased to submit this **"Utility Pole Analysis Report"** to determine the structural integrity of the above mentioned pole.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the pole stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the pole stress level to be:

Structure: Sufficient - 69% Utilization

The pole <u>has sufficient capacity</u> for the proposed Verizon Wireless installation. No reinforcement or modifications are required at this time.

This analysis has been performed in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code - 2017 Edition.

This structural assessment is also based on a limited visual inspection from the ground. The contractor shall field verify all existing conditions and notify the design engineer of any discrepancies prior to the installation of the proposed equipment.

We appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Verizon Wireless. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Structural analysis prepared by: Veronica Elson

Respectfully submitted by: Tectonic Engineering Consultants, Geologists & Land Surveyors, D.P.C.

Veronica E. Elson, PE Project Manager

E OF NEW OF NEW

Project Contact Info

36 British American Boulevard, Suite 101 | Latham, NY 12110 518.783.1630 Tel | 518.783.1544 Fax

tectonicengineering.com Equal Opportunity Employer

1) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

NESC Criteria:	2017
NESC Load Case:	Rule 250B
Wind Pressure with Ice:	4 psf (approx. 40 mph)
Ice Thickness:	0.5″
Construction Grade:	В
Wood Pole Class:	2

Table 1 - Loading Information

Height AGL (ft)	Carrier Designation	Quantity	Equipment Description	Mount Type	Note
45.2	Verizon Wireless	1	4G Antenna (Commscope V4SSPP-360S-F)	Pole Top Antenna Mount	
34.5	-	1	Secondary Conductor	-	
28.0	Verizon	1	Fiber Line	-	
25.7	Wireless	1	Fiber Demarc/MOPT Terminal	-	1
13.0		1	Equipment Shroud	-	
0.0	Verizon	1	100A Subpanel	-	
9.0	Wireless	ess 1 Load Center		Unistrut	
6.0		1	Electric Meter	Unistrut	
Note					

ote:

1) Proposed equipment to be installed

2) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 2 - Documents Utilized

Document	Remarks	Dated
Site Visit Photos & Notes	Tectonic	01/14/23
Preliminary Zone Drawings	Tectonic	04/14/23

2.1) Analysis Method

O-Calc Pro (Version 6.02), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the pole to calculate the member stresses for a variety of load combinations. A summary of this analysis is attached to the end of this report.

2.2) Assumptions

- 1) The pole was properly installed and maintained in good condition in accordance with its original design and/or manufacturer's specifications.
- 2) Pole wood species is similar to Southern Pine.
- 3) The pole is embedded into the ground as per the applicable design requirements based on its height above grade and diameter at grade.
- 4) The pole has been embedded sufficiently so that its soil lateral capacity meets or exceeds the moment capacity of the pole.
- 5) Attachment heights, spans, span lines, line sags, line angles, and appurtenances are estimated based on information obtained during the site visit referenced above or via Google Earth.
- 6) All messenger lines are assumed to be a minimum diameter of 1/4" and meet or exceed the requirements of extra high strength (EHS) galvanized strand cable.
- 7) The proposed secondary power line is assumed not to exceed 500 lbs of tension.
- 8) The proposed fiber may be installed on a messenger with a max tension of 500 lbs.

3) ANALYSIS RESULTS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The pole <u>has sufficient capacity</u> for the proposed Verizon Wireless installation. No reinforcement or modifications are required at this time.

Pole Num:	TBD	Pole Length /	Class:	50 / 2	Code:	NESC	Structure Type:	Deadend
Aux Data 1	Site Name: Kent Road	Species:	SOU	THERN PINE	NESC Rule:	Rule 250B	Status	Unguyed
Aux Data 2	Client: Verizon Wireless	Setting Depth	n (ft):	7.0	Construction Grade:	В	Pole Strength Factor	: 0.65
Aux Data 3	WO: 11861.001	G/L Circumfe	rence (in):	41.61	Loading District:	Heavy	Transverse Wind LF	: 2.50
Aux Data 4	Unset	G/L Fiber Stre	ess (psi):	8,000	Ice Thickness (in):	0.50	Wire Tension LF:	1.65
Aux Data 5	Unset	Allowable Stre	ess (psi):	5,200	Wind Speed (mph):	39.53	Vertical LF:	1.50
Aux Data 6	Unset	Fiber Stress H	Ht. Reduc:	No	Wind Pressure (psf):	4.00		
Latitude:		41.5	93144 Longit	ude:		-73.873233	Elevation:	246'



Groundline Load Summary	Groundline Load Summary - Reporting Angle Mode: Load - Reporting Angle: 41.8°														
	Shear Load* (Ibs)	Applied Load (%)	Bending Moment (ft-lb)	Applied Moment (%)	Pole Capacity (%)	Bending Stress (+/- psi)	Vertical Load (Ibs)	Vertical Stress (psi)	Total Stress (psi)	Pole Capacity (%)					
Powers	824	34.1	28,711	42.4	29.0	1,497	65	0	1,497	28.8					
Comms	824	34.1	23,315	34.4	23.6	1,216	71	1	1,216	23.4					
GenericEquipments	247	10.2	3,869	5.7	3.9	202	735	5	207	4.0					
Pole	377	15.6	8,089	11.9	8.2	422	2,422	18	439	8.4					
Risers	146	6.0	3,760	5.6	3.8	196	219	2	198	3.8					
Insulators	1	0.0	24	0.0	0.0	1	26	0	1	0.0					
Pole Load	2,419	100.0	67,767	100.0	68.5	3,533	3,537	26	3,559	68.4					
Pole Reserve Capacity			31,106		31.5	1,667			1,641	31.6					

Load Summary by Owner - Reporting Angle Mode: Load - Reporting Angle: 41.8°														
	Shear Load* (Ibs)	Applied Load (%)	Bending Moment (ft-lb)	Applied Moment (%)	Pole Capacity (%)	Bending Stress (+/- psi)	Vertical Load (Ibs)	Vertical Stress (psi)	Total Stress (psi)	Pole Capacity (%)				
<undefined></undefined>	825	34.1	28,734	42.4	29.1	1,498	75	1	1,499	28.8				
VZW	1,217	50.3	30,944	45.7	31.3	1,613	1,040	8	1,621	31.2				
Pole	377	15.6	8,089	11.9	8.2	422	2,422	18	439	8.4				
Totals:	2,419	100.0	67,767	100.0	68.5	3,533	3,537	26	3,559	68.4				

Detailed Load Components:

Power		Owner	Height (ft)	Horiz. Offset (in)	Cable Diameter (in)	Sag at Max Temp (ft)	Cable Weight (Ibs/ft)	Lead/Span Length (ft)	Span Angle (deg)	Wire Length (ft)	Tension (Ibs)	Tension Moment* (ft-lb)	Offset Moment* (ft-lb)	Wind Moment* (ft-lb)	Moment at GL* (ft-lb)
Secondary	TRIPLEX 1/0		34.50	7.00	1.0300	0.85	0.399	64.0	45.0	64.0	500	28,667	25	19	28,711
											Totals:	28,667	25	19	28,711

Comm		Owner	Height (ft)	Horiz. Offset (in)	Cable Diameter (in)	Sag at Max Temp (ft)	Cable Weight (Ibs/ft)	Lead/Span Length (ft)	Span Angle (deg)	Wire Length (ft)	Tension (Ibs)	Tension Moment* (ft-lb)	Offset Moment* (ft-lb)	Wind Moment* (ft-lb)	Moment at GL* (ft-lb)
Overlashed Bundle	1/4" EHS	VZW	28.00	7.40	0.2500	0.70	0.121	64.0	45.0	64.0	500	23,266	14	12	23,292
Fiber	Proposed Fiber Line	VZW	27.96	7.40	0.8970		0.338	64.0	45.0	64.0			19	4	23
											Totals:	23,266	33	16	23,315

O-Calc® Pro Analysis Report

GenericEquipmen	t	Owner	Height (ft)	Horiz. Offset (in)	Offset Angle (deg)	Rotate Angle (deg)	Unit Weight (Ibs)	Unit Height (in)	Unit Depth (in)	Unit Diameter (in)	Unit Length (in)	Offset Moment* (ft-lb)	Wind Moment* (ft-lb)	Moment at GL* (ft-lb)
Cylinder	V4SSPP-360S	VZW	45.20	0.15	0.0	0.0	29.32	24.41		12.01		0	921	921
Cylinder	Antenna Mounting Cradle	VZW	43.92	0.15	180.0	0.0	5.00	6.38		11.00		0	221	220
Box	Pole Top Mount	VZW	43.20	0.10	0.0	0.0	100.00	10.00	4.00		4.00	1	201	202
Box	Mount Bracket	VZW	42.45	5.10	0.0	0.0	10.00	13.00	2.00		6.00	5	292	297
Box	Mount Bracket	VZW	42.45	4.90	180.0	0.0	10.00	13.00	2.00		6.00	-5	292	287
Cylinder	Weatherhead	VZW	34.00	7.53	165.0	0.0	1.50	6.00		6.00		-1	85	84
Box	Fiber Demarcation Box	VZW	26.25	7.61	225.0	0.0	0.44	12.00	4.00		4.50	0	158	157
Box	Equipment Shroud	VZW	15.00	19.70	225.0	0.0	279.00	48.00	26.00		24.00	-692	1,925	1,233
Box	100A Subpanel	VZW	11.50	10.70	225.0	0.0	15.00	12.65	4.27		8.88	-20	112	92
Box	Electric Disconnect	VZW	9.78	10.51	225.0	0.0	15.00	18.75	3.88		14.31	-20	267	247
Box	Electric Meter	VZW	6.79	11.18	225.0	0.0	25.00	19.00	4.84		13.00	-35	164	129
											Totals:	-768	4,637	3,869
		1 -												
Riser		Owner	Height (ft)	Horiz. Offset (in)	Offset Angle (dea)	Rotate Angle (deg)	Unit Weight (Ibs)	Unit Height (in)	Unit Depth (in)	Unit Diameter (in)	Unit Length (in)	Offset Moment* (ft-lb)	Wind Moment* (ft-lb)	Moment at GL* (ft-lb)
1.5" STD Secondary Conduit 165.0° H:34.0	1.5" STD Secondary Conduit	VZW	34.00	6.81	165.0	165.0	34.00	408.00	1.90	1.90	408.00	-10	935	925
Fiber Conduit 195.0° H:25.7	Fiber Conduit	VZW	25.70	6.81	195.0	195.0	25.70	308.40	1.90	1.90	308.40	-13	238	225
VZW U-Guard 255.0° H:43.0	VZW U-Guard	VZW	43.00	6.81	255.0	255.0	86.00	516.00	4.00	4.00	516.00	-40	2,650	2,610
											Totals:	-63	3,823	3,760
[
Insulator			Owner	(ft)	Horiz. Offset (in)	Offset Angle (dea)	Rotate Angle (deg)	Unit Weight (Ibs)	Un Diam (in	it U eter Lei) (nit ngth M in)	Offset oment* (ft-lb)	Wind Moment* (ft-lb)	Moment at GL* (ft-lb)
Spool	Spool 3"	1	I	34.50	0.00	90.0	0.	0 2	.00	3.00	3.19	0	23	23
Bolt	Single Bolt			28.00	0.00	0.0	0.	0 5	.00	3.00	0.10	0	1	1

Polo Ruckling												
									Totals:	0	24	24
Bolt	Single Bolt	VZW	6.00	0.00	225.0	225.0	5.00	3.00	0.10	0	0	0
Bolt	Single Bolt	VZW	9.00	0.00	225.0	225.0	5.00	3.00	0.10	0	0	0
	5											

Buckling Constant	Buckling Column Height* (ft)	Buckling Section Height (% Buckling Col. Hgt.)	Buckling Section Diameter (in)	Minimum Buckling Diameter at GL (in)	Diameter at Tip (in)	Diameter at GL (in)	Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	Pole Density (pcf)	Ice Density (pcf)	Pole Tip Height (ft)	Buckling Load Capacity at Height (Ibs)	Buckling Load Applied at Height (Ibs)	Buckling Load Factor of Safety	
2.00	21.57	33.00	12.37	7.05	7.96	13.25	1.60e+6	60.00	57.00	43.00	44,011	442.14	12.50	